

Original Paper

Differences in Trunk Accelerometry Between Frail and Nonfrail Elderly Persons in Sit-to-Stand and Stand-to-Sit Transitions Based on a Mobile Inertial Sensor

Alejandro Galán-Mercant¹, PT, PhD; Antonio I Cuesta-Vargas^{1,2}, PT, PhD

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy, University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain

²School of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

Corresponding Author:

Antonio I Cuesta-Vargas, PT, PhD

Faculty of Health Sciences

Department of Physiotherapy

University of Malaga

Av. Martiricos s/n 29009 Malaga

Malaga,

Spain

Phone: 34 0034667455544

Fax: 34 0034952051349

Email: acuesta@uma.es

Abstract

Background: Clinical frailty syndrome is a common geriatric syndrome, which is characterized by physiological reserve decreases and increased vulnerability. The changes associated to ageing and frailties are associated to changes in gait characteristics and the basic functional capacities. Traditional clinical evaluation of Sit-to-Stand (Si-St) and Stand-to-Sit (St-Si) transition is based on visual observation of joint angle motion to describe alterations in coordination and movement pattern. The latest generation smartphones often include inertial sensors with subunits such as accelerometers and gyroscopes, which can detect acceleration.

Objective: Firstly, to describe the variability of the accelerations, angular velocity, and displacement of the trunk during the Sit-to-Stand and Stand-to-Sit transitions in two groups of frail and physically active elderly persons, through instrumentation with the iPhone 4 smartphone. Secondly, we want to analyze the differences between the two study groups.

Methods: A cross-sectional study that involved 30 subjects over 65 years, 14 frail and 16 fit subjects. The participants were classified with frail syndrome by the Fried criteria. Linear acceleration was measured along three orthogonal axes using the iPhone 4 accelerometer. Each subject performed up to three successive Si-St and St-Si postural transitions using a standard chair with armrest.

Results: Significant differences were found between the two groups of frail and fit elderly persons in the accelerometry and angular displacement variables obtained in the kinematic readings of the trunk during both transitions.

Conclusions: The inertial sensor fitted in the iPhone 4 is able to study and analyze the kinematics of the Si-St and St-Si transitions in frail and physically active elderly persons. The accelerometry values for the frail elderly are lower than for the physically active elderly, while variability in the readings for the frail elderly is also lower than for the control group.

(*JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2013;1(2):e21) doi:[10.2196/mhealth.2710](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.2710)

KEYWORDS

frail syndrome; sit-to-stand; stand-to-sit; mobile phone; inertial sensor

Introduction

Clinical frailty syndrome is a common geriatric syndrome which is characterized by physiological reserve decreases and increased

vulnerability and which may, in the event of unexpected intercurrent processes, result in falls, hospitalization, institutionalization, or even death [1]. Detection and diagnosis of frailty depend on the following domains. *Medical:* Presence of chronic diseases, gait disturbance, sensory deficit, recurrent

falls and hospitalization, and polypharmacy. *Functional*: Dependence in basic activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living. *Socioeconomic*: Living alone, recent widowhood aged over 80 years, and low income. *Cognitive and affective criteria*: Depression and cognitive impairment. *Need for institutionalization*: Live in retirement homes and nursing homes [2].

A previous study in the frailty detection [2] concludes that it is necessary to make a multimodal correct assessment of the whole clinical record. In this sense, this study established a set of clinical parameters from the laboratory report belonging to the patient record. Different groups of clinical factors had been identified: nutritional assessment, cognitive assessment, etc. The present study is focused only in the physical function. It however seems particularly worthwhile to measure mobility and balance (physical function) as a means of knowing whether acutely ill older people who are frail are recovering from their illness or becoming more ill [3-6].

The changes associated to ageing and frailties are associated to changes in gait characteristics and the basic functional capacities of the individual [7]. This variability in different movement patterns has been interpreted as a more conservative gait pattern in order to increase gait stability and reduce the risk of falls [8]. The new, more conservative gait pattern has greater cognitive involvement and produces a result focused entirely on movement, while the perception of unexpected trigger factors may be overlooked [9]. Dual tasks have been shown to affect normal gait development even in healthy persons [10].

As people get older, the ability to rise from a chair, usually labeled as the sit-to-stand (Si-St) and stand-to-sit (St-Si) postural transition, becomes a more demanding functional daily task [11,12]. Traditional clinical evaluation of Si-St and St-Si transition is based on visual observation of joint angle motion to describe alterations in coordination and movement pattern [11,12]. However, the validity of such assessment essentially depends on clinicians' experience and training. Results might not have the precision needed to objectively assess the effect of rehabilitative intervention or the decline over time in frail elderly persons [11-13]. Kinematics of the trunk appears essential to maintain balance during Si-St transition [11,12,14]. The dynamic body movements using body-worn inertial sensors have been investigated [11,12,15-19].

A previous study concludes that inertial sensors can offer an accurate and reliable method to study human motion [20]. Algorithms for characterizing the gait of pedestrian using

accelerometer and gyroscope signals recorded in a handheld device have been developed and presented in previous studies. This study concluded that the pattern recognition open new research options toward free-inertial tracking of pedestrian using handheld inertial sensors, which are already widely embedded in smartphones nowadays [21]. The latest generation smartphones often include inertial sensors with subunits such as accelerometers and gyroscopes that can detect acceleration and inclination [22]. The numerous applications developed for these smartphones mean the data from the accelerometer and the gyroscope can be read, stored, transferred, and displayed [23,24]. These applications evaluate and assess kinematic variables related to gait [25], measuring Cobb angles in x-rays, or as an objective method to classify levels of physical activity, and an indicator of the degree of functional capacity and quality of life [22,26].

There are two goals in the present study. First to describe the variability of the accelerations, angular velocity, and displacement of the trunk during the Si-St and St-Si transitions in two groups of frail and physically active elderly persons, through instrumentation with the iPhone 4 smartphone; and second, to analyze the differences and performance of the variance between the study groups (frail and healthy).

Methods

Design and Participants

A cross-sectional study that involved 30 subjects over 65 years, 14 frail and 16 fit subjects. The participants were classified with frail syndrome by the Fried criteria (unintentional weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, weakness, slow walking speed, and low physical activity) [1]. Exclusion criteria were no history of pain in the last twelve months, previous surgery, presence of a tumor, and musculoskeletal disorders in the upper or lower extremity. Patients with impaired cognition, musculoskeletal back comorbidities, and problems associated with exercise intolerance were also excluded. A physiotherapist clinically examined all participants, and none of them have the exclusion criteria. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the sample.

Fit participants were recruited through advertisements in the Sport and Health Center in Torremolinos, Spain. Frail participants were recruited through advertisements in the Geriatrics Centers in Torremolinos and Benalmadena, Spain. Written informed consent was obtained from each individual. The ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Malaga, Spain approved the study.

Table 1. Characteristics of sample (N=30).

	Mean		Standard deviation	
	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)
Age (years)	83.71	70.25	6.37	3.32
Weight (kg) ^a	56.21	71.03	9.64	13.11
Height (cm) ^b	155.79	159.44	7.81	10.61
Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^c	23.36	27.87	3.48	3.79

^akg=kilograms^bcm=centimeters^cm=meters

Data Collection and Procedures

Analysis was performed with SPSS version 15 for Windows while the data collection phase used inferential analysis between variables by type and normal. The nonparametric test Mann-Whitney [27] was used as determined by the variables normality of distribution. The statistical significance level was set at $P < .05$.

Results

Table 2 summarizes the acceleration-based measures of the Si-St and St-Si transitions in the two groups.

Table 3 summarizes the gyroscope-based measures of the Si-St and St-Si transitions in the two groups.

Table 2. Acceleration-based values from the Si-St and St-Si transitions (N=30).

	Mean		Standard deviation		U ^a	P value
	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)		
Si-St (m/s²)^{b,c}						
x^d .acc ^e .max ^f	2.004	3.353	0.761	1.475	49.50	.009
x .acc.min ^g	-1.443	-3.136	1.211	1.198	30.50	<.001
y^h .acc.max	3.069	6.248	1.240	1.913	15.00	<.001
y .acc.min	-1.471	-6.182	0.788	2.415	0.000	<.001
y .acc.mean	0.668	0.018	0.513	0.690	45.00	.005
RV ⁱ .acc.max	7.065	8.972	2.233	2.506	58.00	.025
RV.acc.mean	2.975	4.215	1.063	0.964	44.00	.005
St-Si (m/s²)^{b,c}						
y .acc.max	3.567	6.200	2.028	1.752	26.50	<.001
y .acc.min	-2.950	-9.003	2.441	4.334	14.00	<.001
z^j .acc.max	5.830	3.834	2.170	2.682	62.00	.038
z .acc.min	-3.770	-6.645	1.928	2.374	35.00	<.001
z .acc.mean	0.874	-1.611	1.672	1.701	36.00	.002
RV.acc.max	7.213	10.652	2.566	3.501	41.00	.003
RV.acc.min	0.364	0.808	0.255	0.479	38.00	.002
RV.acc.mean	3.188	4.263	0.708	1.048	45.00	.005

^aU=U-Mann-Whitney^bm=meters^cs=second^d x =x-axis^eacc=acceleration^fmax=maximum^gmin=minimum^h y =y-axisⁱRV=resultant vector^j z =z-axis

Table 3. Gyroscope-based values from the Si-St and St-Si transitions (N=30).

	Mean		Standard deviation		U ^a	P value
	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)	Frail (n=14)	Fit (n=16)		
Si-St						
roll.rotation.max ^b (deg) ^c	102.920	196.544	98.755	109.519	35.00	.001
roll.rotation.mean (deg)	-24.754	83.837	58.165	150.560	61.00	.034
rate ^d .yaw.min ^e (deg/s) ^f	-47.813	-26.131	17.501	25.998	42.00	.004
rate.pitch.max (deg/s)	27.414	123.404	15.552	141.318	28.00	<.001
rate.roll.max (deg/s)	18.924	165.437	8.843	120.989	0.000	<.001
rate.roll.min (deg/s)	-19.796	-62.597	10.956	39.321	56.00	.020
rate.roll.mean (deg/s)	0.459	49.993	1.289	82.129	59.00	.028
St-Si						
roll.rotation.min (deg)	-163.264	-61.157	38.955	108.358	60.00	.031
roll.rotation.mean (deg)	-15.487	83.102	40.876	142.182	62.00	.038
rate.yaw.max (deg/s)	41.309	130.470	11.316	138.379	57.00	.022
rate.yaw.min (deg/s)	-67.449	-37.077	21.053	30.776	49.00	.009
rate.roll.max (deg/s)	38.146	145.150	18.918	129.161	13.00	<.001
rate.roll.min (deg/s)	-25.596	-70.275	16.433	50.714	58.00	.025

^aU=U-Mann-Whitney^bmax=maximum^cdeg=degrees^drate=angular velocity^emin=minimum^fs=second

Discussion

Principal Results

The present study has described and examined the identification, analysis, and differentiation in the performance of kinematic variables using the inertial sensor fitted in the iPhone 4 during Si-St and St-Si transitions in healthy and frail elderly people. Significant differences were found between the groups of elderly people in the accelerometry and angular displacement variables obtained in the kinematic readings of the trunk during the both transitions.

The results obtained in this study show a series of weakness in the frail elderly population group. The most significant deficits found in the Si-St and St-Si transitions corresponded to accelerometry (see Table 2), with the frail elderly persons obtaining lower maximum and minimum accelerations than the physically active elderly persons in the y-axis during these transitions.

Comparison With Prior Work

As far as we are aware, this is the first study that has used iPhone 4 technology to analyze and study the kinematics of healthy and frail persons aged over 65 years during the Si-St and St-Si transitions. Moreover, it is the first study that has shown the

possibility of differentiating kinematic patterns in both transitions. The instrumented kinematic analysis of the Si-St and St-Si transitions was analyzed previously [11,15-19]. Moreover, in these studies no data were provided regarding magnitudes of acceleration and angular velocity obtained with a smartphone. By way of example, the results of the present study obtained in Table 2 show kinematic data that inform us that in the Si-St transition the linear acceleration of the trunk on the y-axis showed significant differences between healthy and frail elderly persons, while linear acceleration in the z-axis did not show any statistically significant differences.

It should be noted that frailty is defined as a clinical syndrome in which three or more of the following criteria should be present: unintentional weight loss, self-referred exhaustion, muscular weakness, low walking speed, and low physical activity levels [1]. Generically, the gyroscope and accelerometry data obtained for the Si-St and St-Si transitions were similar to other studies with other types of study groups or other types of functional tasks. In the present study, the frail elderly showed low magnitudes in the kinematic values with low variability (very small standard deviations) compared to the controls, the same as the subjects affected by Parkinson's disease [28-30], the elderly with a high risk of falls [7] and the frail elderly in a previous study [11,12,31].

There are two recent studies [11,12] that have instrumented the Si-St and St-Si transitions, differentiating and analyzing the kinematic data in each of the transitions between two groups of elderly persons. However, unlike the present study, they did not use iPhone 4 technology to collect kinematic variables.

Another recent study which has worked on the instrumentalization of the Timed Get Up and Go [7] test systematically evaluated the accelerometry values in elderly persons with a high risk of falls during the traditional three meter test, focusing solely on transitions in Si-St and St-Si. Like the present study, this study found numerous variables deriving from acceleration that showed differences between groups. However, the variables in this study were different, as was the methodology, and other things. Moreover, the measurement units were not coincident, and this study was based on the acceleration increase amplitude and the acceleration slope.

From a clinical perspective, the present study demonstrates that these new accelerometry parameters play an important role in differentiating between subjects with different functional states. These results provide new knowledge, extending existing knowledge on the isolated study of Si-St and St-Si transitions in frail and physically active elderly persons [11,12,31].

With regards to analysis of the data obtained in the present study, the differences between the frail and the physically active elderly show a series of deficits in the group of frail persons in both transitions. It is notable that the most significant deficit for the frail elderly in the Si-St and St-Si corresponded to accelerometry, with the frail elderly obtaining much lower minimum and maximum accelerations than the physically active elderly in the y-axis (see Table 2). In kinematic terms, this axis corresponds to accelerations in the VT-axis, leading us to believe that the frail elderly have less strength to carry out the impulse in concentric contraction of the quadriceps femoris muscle and the decrease in eccentric contraction of the same muscle on the VT-axis, as required for the transition from sitting to standing and vice versa. A study of the factors which influence this transition in 669 institutionalized elderly people showed that quadricep strength is the most important determinant factor for this transition, although there are other factors such as proprioception, movement execution speed, and psychological aspects which also influence ability to successfully carry out

this functional test. Other factors, which may influence this transition, are foot position, anthropometry of the individual, or the height of the chair [16].

Limitations and Future Work

The present findings motivate future investigations along these lines, but this study presents some limitations. First, men and women have different characteristics and it would be very interesting to provide the differences between them after the St-Si/Si-St exercise. A new study is needed to compare between genders. Moreover, prospective studies are needed to determine if acceleration-derived measures, perhaps in combination with other metrics and previously described measures of fall risk, can predict. Additional work is also needed to explore other properties of accelerometer-derived measures of the Si-St/St-Si. Note that here we specifically focused on timing of transition and a subset of the properties of the signal; further analysis of the complete waveform and other time points may provide additional utility. Indeed, it appears that the proposed approach not only may offer a more refined scale for assessing older adults, but it may also help to pinpoint specific problems that give rise to an abnormal performance of functional tasks (eg, Si-St/St-Si transitions). In the meantime, the present results demonstrate the potential of using an accelerometer to measure Si-St/St-Si performance, while maintaining simplicity and requiring no additional time to acquire the data.

Conclusions

The inertial sensor fitted in the iPhone 4 is able to study and analyze the kinematics of the Si-St and St-Si transitions in frail and physically active elderly persons. The accelerometry values for the frail elderly are lower than for the physically active elderly, while variability in the readings for the frail elderly is also lower than for the control group. This suggests that the frail elderly carry out the test in a more careful, restricted way during the functional tasks, which make up the transitions, possibly showing their reduced ability to regulate movement when performing these tasks and transitions. The compensation mechanisms also play an important role. These results indicate that the additional, relevant information for future discriminant analysis comes mainly from the acceleration signal during the Si-St and St-Si transitions.

Authors' Contributions

ACV conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, and drafted the manuscript. ACV also had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Both authors performed the statistical analysis and provided critical content revision of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001 Mar;56(3):M146-M157. [Medline: [11253156](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11253156/)]

2. Fontecha J, Navarro FJ, Hervás R, Bravo J. Elderly frailty detection by using accelerometer-enabled smartphones and clinical information records. *Pers Ubiquit Comput* 2012 May 12;1-11. [doi: [10.1007/s00779-012-0559-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-012-0559-5)]
3. Rockwood K, Hubbard R. Frailty and the geriatrician. *Age Ageing* 2004 Sep;33(5):429-430 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1093/ageing/afh153](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afh153)] [Medline: [15315912](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15315912/)]
4. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *CMAJ* 2005 Aug 30;173(5):489-495 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1503/cmaj.050051](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.050051)] [Medline: [15315912](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15315912/)]
5. Tinetti ME. Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1986 Feb;34(2):119-126. [Medline: [3944402](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3944402/)]
6. Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B. Balance in elderly patients: the "get-up and go" test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986 Jun;67(6):387-389. [Medline: [3487300](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3487300/)]
7. Weiss A, Herman T, Plotnik M, Brozgot M, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. An instrumented timed up and go: the added value of an accelerometer for identifying fall risk in idiopathic fallers. *Physiol Meas* 2011 Dec;32(12):2003-2018. [doi: [10.1088/0967-3334/32/12/009](https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/32/12/009)] [Medline: [22094550](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22094550/)]
8. Menz HB, Lord SR, Fitzpatrick RC. Age-related differences in walking stability. *Age Ageing* 2003 Mar;32(2):137-142 [FREE Full text] [Medline: [12615555](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12615555/)]
9. Lamothe CJ, van Deudekom FJ, van Campen JP, Appels BA, de Vries OJ, Pijnappels M. Gait stability and variability measures show effects of impaired cognition and dual tasking in frail people. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2011;8:2 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-8-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-8-2)] [Medline: [21241487](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21241487/)]
10. Beauchet O, Dubost V, Herrmann FR, Kressig RW. Stride-to-stride variability while backward counting among healthy young adults. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2005;2:26 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-2-26](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-2-26)] [Medline: [16095533](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16095533/)]
11. Ganea R, Paraschiv-Ionescu A, Büla C, Rochat S, Aminian K. Multi-parametric evaluation of sit-to-stand and stand-to-sit transitions in elderly people. *Med Eng Phys* 2011 Nov;33(9):1086-1093. [doi: [10.1016/j.medengphy.2011.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2011.04.015)] [Medline: [21601505](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21601505/)]
12. Ganea R, Paraschiv-Ionescu A, Salarian A, Büla C, Martin E, Rochat S, et al. Kinematics and dynamic complexity of postural transitions in frail elderly subjects. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2007;2007:6118-6121. [doi: [10.1109/IEMBS.2007.4353745](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2007.4353745)] [Medline: [18003411](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18003411/)]
13. Jeng SF, Schenkman M, Riley PO, Lin SJ. Reliability of a clinical kinematic assessment of the sit-to-stand movement. *Phys Ther* 1990 Aug;70(8):511-520 [FREE Full text] [Medline: [2374780](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2374780/)]
14. Najafi B, Aminian K, Loew F, Blanc Y, Robert PA. Measurement of stand-sit and sit-stand transitions using a miniature gyroscope and its application in fall risk evaluation in the elderly. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2002 Aug;49(8):843-851. [doi: [10.1109/TBME.2002.800763](https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2002.800763)] [Medline: [12148823](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12148823/)]
15. Bagala F, Klenk J, Cappello A, Chiari L, Becker C, Lindemann U. Quantitative description of the lie-to-sit-to-stand-to-walk transfer by a single body-fixed sensor. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2013 Jul;21(4):624-633. [doi: [10.1109/TNSRE.2012.2230189](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2012.2230189)] [Medline: [23221832](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23221832/)]
16. Janssen WG, Külçü DG, Horemans HL, Stam HJ, Bussmann JB. Sensitivity of accelerometry to assess balance control during sit-to-stand movement. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2008 Oct;16(5):479-484. [doi: [10.1109/TNSRE.2008.2003386](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2008.2003386)] [Medline: [18990651](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18990651/)]
17. Musić J, Kamnik R, Munih M. Model based inertial sensing of human body motion kinematics in sit-to-stand movement. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory* 2008 Sep;16(8):933-944. [doi: [10.1016/j.simpat.2008.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2008.05.005)]
18. Roetenberg D, Slycke PJ, Veltink PH. Ambulatory position and orientation tracking fusing magnetic and inertial sensing. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2007 May;54(5):883-890. [doi: [10.1109/TBME.2006.889184](https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2006.889184)] [Medline: [17518285](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17518285/)]
19. Schurr K, Sherrington C, Wallbank G, Pamphlett P, Olivetti L. The minimum sit-to-stand height test: reliability, responsiveness and relationship to leg muscle strength. *Clin Rehabil* 2012 Jul;26(7):656-663. [doi: [10.1177/0269215511427323](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215511427323)] [Medline: [22234904](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22234904/)]
20. Cuesta-Vargas AI, Galán-Mercant A, Williams JM. The use of inertial sensors system for human motion analysis. *Phys Ther Rev* 2010 Dec;15(6):462-473. [doi: [10.1179/1743288X11Y.0000000006](https://doi.org/10.1179/1743288X11Y.0000000006)] [Medline: [23565045](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23565045/)]
21. Susi M, Renaudin V, Lachapelle G. Motion mode recognition and step detection algorithms for mobile phone users. *Sensors (Basel)* 2013;13(2):1539-1562 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/s130201539](https://doi.org/10.3390/s130201539)] [Medline: [23348038](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23348038/)]
22. Shaw M, Adam CJ, Izatt MT, Licina P, Askin GN. Use of the iPhone for Cobb angle measurement in scoliosis. *Eur Spine J* 2012 Jun;21(6):1062-1068. [doi: [10.1007/s00586-011-2059-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-011-2059-0)] [Medline: [22065167](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22065167/)]
23. Mellone S, Tacconi C, Schwickert L, Klenk J, Becker C, Chiari L. Smartphone-based solutions for fall detection and prevention: the FARSEEING approach. *Z Gerontol Geriatr* 2012 Dec;45(8):722-727. [doi: [10.1007/s00391-012-0404-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00391-012-0404-5)] [Medline: [23184298](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23184298/)]
24. Tacconi C, Mellone S, Chiari L. Smartphone-based applications for investigating falls and mobility. In: *Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare (PervasiveHealth)*. Dublin: Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare (PervasiveHealth); 2011:258-261.

25. Lemoyne R, Mastroianni T, Cozza M, Coroian C, Grundfest W. Implementation of an iPhone as a wireless accelerometer for quantifying gait characteristics. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2010;2010:3847-3851. [doi: [10.1109/IEMBS.2010.5627699](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2010.5627699)] [Medline: [21097067](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21097067/)]
26. Xia Y, Cheung V, Garcia E, Ding H, Karunaithi M. Development of an automated physical activity classification application for mobile phones. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2011;168:188-194. [Medline: [21893928](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21893928/)]
27. Mann HB, Whitney DR. On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Ann. Math. Statist* 1947 Mar;18(1):50-60. [doi: [10.1214/aoms/1177730491](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730491)]
28. Salarian A, Horak FB, Zampieri C, Carlson-Kuhta P, Nutt JG, Aminian K. iTUG, a sensitive and reliable measure of mobility. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2010 Jun;18(3):303-310 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1109/TNSRE.2010.2047606](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2010.2047606)] [Medline: [20388604](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20388604/)]
29. Weiss A, Herman T, Plotnik M, Brozgol M, Maidan I, Giladi N, et al. Can an accelerometer enhance the utility of the timed up & go test when evaluating patients with Parkinson's disease? *Med Eng Phys* 2010 Mar;32(2):119-125. [doi: [10.1016/j.medengphy.2009.10.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2009.10.015)] [Medline: [19942472](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19942472/)]
30. Zampieri C, Salarian A, Carlson-Kuhta P, Aminian K, Nutt JG, Horak FB. The instrumented timed up and go test: potential outcome measure for disease modifying therapies in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010 Feb;81(2):171-176 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/jnnp.2009.173740](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2009.173740)] [Medline: [19726406](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19726406/)]
31. Moe-Nilssen R, Helbostad JL. Interstride trunk acceleration variability but not step width variability can differentiate between fit and frail older adults. *Gait Posture* 2005 Feb;21(2):164-170. [doi: [10.1016/j.gaitpost.2004.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2004.01.013)] [Medline: [15639395](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15639395/)]

Abbreviations

RPY: roll, pitch, and yaw

RV: resultant vector

SDs: standard deviations

SI-ST: sit-to-stand

ST-SI: stand-to-sit

VT: vertical axis

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 13.05.13; peer-reviewed by J Garcia-Romero, M Izquierdo, J Diezma; comments to author 22.05.13; revised version received 09.07.13; accepted 30.07.13; published 18.08.13

Please cite as:

Galán-Mercant A, Cuesta-Vargas AI

Differences in Trunk Accelerometry Between Frail and Nonfrail Elderly Persons in Sit-to-Stand and Stand-to-Sit Transitions Based on a Mobile Inertial Sensor

JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2013;1(2):e21

URL: <http://mhealth.jmir.org/2013/2/e21/>

doi: [10.2196/mhealth.2710](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.2710)

PMID:

©Alejandro Galán-Mercant, Antonio I Cuesta-Vargas. Originally published in JMIR Mhealth & Uhealth (<http://mhealth.jmir.org>), 18.08.2013. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR mhealth and uhealth, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://mhealth.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.