



# Fractional Derivative Description of the Bloch Space

Álvaro Miguel Moreno<sup>1</sup> · José Ángel Peláez<sup>1</sup> · Elena de la Rosa<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 July 2023 / Accepted: 8 December 2023  
© The Author(s) 2024

## Abstract

We establish new characterizations of the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  which include descriptions in terms of classical fractional derivatives. Being precise, for an analytic function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)z^n$  in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , we define the fractional derivative  $D^\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{f}(n)}{\mu_{2n+1}} z^n$  induced by a radial weight  $\mu$ , where  $\mu_{2n+1} = \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \mu(r) dr$  are the odd moments of  $\mu$ . Then, we consider the space  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  of analytic functions  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f)(z)| < \infty$ , where  $\widehat{\mu}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \mu(s) ds$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}$  for any radial weight  $\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^\mu$  if and only if  $\mu \in \mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$ . A radial weight  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  if  $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{\widehat{\mu}(r)}{\widehat{\mu}(\frac{1+r}{2})} < \infty$  and a radial weight  $\mu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$  if there exist  $K = K(\mu) > 1$  such that  $\inf_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{\widehat{\mu}(r)}{\widehat{\mu}(1-\frac{1-r}{K})} > 1$ .

**Keywords** Fractional derivative · Bloch space · Radial weight · Doubling weight

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 26A33 · 30H30

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of analytic functions in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For a nonnegative function  $\omega \in L^1([0, 1))$ , the extension to  $\mathbb{D}$ , defined by  $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , is called a radial weight. For  $0 < p < \infty$  and such an  $\omega$ , the Lebesgue space  $L_\omega^p$  consists of complex-valued measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

---

✉ Álvaro Miguel Moreno  
alvarommorenolopez@uma.es  
José Ángel Peláez  
japelaez@uma.es  
Elena de la Rosa  
elena.rosa@uma.es

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain

where  $dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$  is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The corresponding weighted Bergman space is  $A^p_\omega = L^p_\omega \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . Throughout this paper we assume  $\widehat{\omega}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) ds > 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , for otherwise  $A^p_\omega = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . As usual, for the standard weights  $\omega(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , we simply write  $L^p_\alpha$  and  $A^p_\alpha$  for the corresponding Lebesgue and Bergman spaces. In addition, we denote  $L^p = L^p_0$  and  $A^p = A^p_0$ .

For a radial weight  $\mu$ , the fractional derivative of  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{f}(n)z^n \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  (induced by  $\mu$ ) is

$$D^\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{f}(n)}{\mu_{2n+1}} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here and from now on,  $\mu_{2n+1}$  are the odd moments of  $\mu$ , and in general we write  $\mu_x = \int_0^1 r^x \mu(r) dr$  for a radial weight  $\mu$  and  $x \geq 0$ . It is clear that  $D^\mu(f)$  is a polynomial if  $f$  is a polynomial and it follows from the inequality

$$\mu_{2n+1} \geq \varepsilon^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mu}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

that  $D^\mu(f) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . If  $\mu$  is the standard weight  $\mu(z) = \beta(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta-1}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $D^\mu(f)$  is nothing but

$$D^\beta(f)(z) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \widehat{f}(n)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.2}$$

which basically coincides with the fractional derivative of order  $\beta > 0$  introduced by Hardy and Littlewood in [3, p. 409]. The differences between Eq. 1.2 and [3, (3.13)] are in the multiplicative factor  $\frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}$  and the inessential factor  $z^\beta$ . See [12, 13] for related definitions or reformulations of classical and generalized fractional derivative.

The fractional derivative  $D^\mu$  was introduced in [11] by the second and third authors to study Littlewood-Paley formulas for Bergman spaces, and in particular they proved that

$$\|f\|_{A^p}^p \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |D^\mu(f)(z)|^p \widehat{\mu}(z)^p dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let us recall that a radial weight  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  if there exists  $C = C(\mu) > 0$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}(r) \leq C\widehat{\mu}(\frac{1+r}{2})$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ , and a radial weight  $\mu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$  if there exist  $K = K(\mu) > 1$  and  $C = C(\mu) > 1$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}(r) \geq C\widehat{\mu}(1 - \frac{1-r}{K})$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ . We denote  $\mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$ .

In this paper, we are interested in obtaining a  $p = \infty$  version of Eq. 1.3, therefore for each radial weight  $\mu$  we consider the normed space

$$\mathcal{B}^\mu = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f)(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

A first natural approach to this problem lead us to the following question: Which are the radial weights  $\mu$  such that  $\mathcal{B}^\mu = H^\infty$ ? As usual  $H^\infty$  denotes the space of bounded analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . As it could be expected, our first result provides a stark negative answer to this question.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial weight. Then,  $H^\infty \neq \mathcal{B}^\mu$ .*

As for the proof Proposition 1 we show that the embedding  $H^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}^\mu$  implies  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , and then we draw on this property of the weight to construct a function  $f \in \mathcal{B}^\mu \setminus H^\infty$ .

In view of Proposition 1, the Littlewood-Paley formula

$$\|f\|_{A^p}^p \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n)}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{np} dA(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and Eq. 1.3, it is also natural to study the relationship between  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  and the classical Bloch space of  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|)|f'(z)| < \infty$ . We will also give thought to the analogous question for the little versions of both spaces;  $\mathcal{B}_0$  the space of  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu =$

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \widehat{\mu}(z)|D^\mu(f)(z)| = 0 \right\}.$$

Our first main result is the following.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial weight. Then,  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}$ , that is*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

In order to prove the first part of Theorem 2 we use an appropriate integral representation of the fractional derivative  $D^\mu$  and the well-known identification  $\mathcal{B} \simeq (A^1)^*$  via the  $A^2$ -pairing [14, Theorem 5.3]. Consequently, the proof boils down to showing that each  $f \in \mathcal{B}^\mu$  induces a bounded linear functional  $L_f(g) = \langle g, f \rangle_{A^2} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(rz)\overline{g(z)} dA(z)$  on  $A^1$  and  $\|L_f\| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu}$ . The proof of the second part of Theorem 2 is based on the fact that  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  if and only if  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = 0$ . Here and on the following  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

On the other hand, it is obvious that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^\mu$  if  $\mu = 1$  and it is known that  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $f^{(n)}(1 - |z|)^n \in L^\infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , [14, Theorem 4]. In addition, we recall that the Bloch space can be characterized in terms the multiplier transformation  $f^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (n+1)^\beta \widehat{f}(n)z^n$ , which may also be regarded as fractional derivative of order  $\beta > 0$  [1].

Our next main result shows that characterizations of the Bloch space in terms of classical fractional derivatives are examples of a general phenomenon rather than particular cases, and moreover it provides a neat characterization of the radial weights  $\mu$  such that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^\mu$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial weight. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ , that is

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}); \tag{1.5}$$

- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}^\mu = \mathcal{B}$  and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D});$$

- (iv)  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$ , that is

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_0; \tag{1.6}$$

- (v)  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu = \mathcal{B}_0$  with equivalence of norms.

The proof of Theorem 3 is lengthy and involved and requires some preparatory results. In fact, the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is based on asymptotic estimates of the integral means of order one of  $D^\mu(B_\zeta)$ , where  $B_\zeta(z) = (1 - \bar{\zeta}z)^{-2}$  is the Bergman reproducing kernel of  $A^2$ . It is also worth mentioning that we use smooth properties of universal Cesàro basis of polynomials introduced by Jevtić and Pavlović [4] to obtain the aforementioned asymptotic estimates .

Reciprocally, in order to prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) we use that  $\mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  if there exist constants  $C = C(\mu) > 1$  and  $K = K(\mu) > 1$  such that  $\mu_x \geq C\mu_{Kx}$  for all  $x \geq 1$ . Moreover, we prove that for every  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists  $g \in L^\infty$  such that  $P_\mu(g) = f$  and  $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $P_\mu$  is the Bergman projection from  $L^2_\mu$  to  $A^2_\mu$ . Then, [10, Theorem 2] ensures that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . We get the condition  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  by testing Eq. 1.5 on monomials. The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 2 and standard properties of the space  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$ .

The next few lines are dedicated to offer a brief insight to classes of radial weights  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}, \check{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . Doubling weights appear in a natural way in many questions on operator theory. For instance, the Bergman projection  $P_\mu$ , induced by a radial weight  $\mu$ , acts as a bounded operator from the space  $L^\infty$  of bounded complex-valued functions to the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , and  $P_\mu : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is bounded and onto if and only if  $\mu \in \mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{M}$ . It is known that  $\check{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , [10, Proof of Theorem 3] and  $\check{\mathcal{D}} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$  [10, Proof of Theorem 3 and Proposition 14]. Further, each standard radial weight obviously belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ , while  $\check{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \mathcal{D}$  contains exponential type weights such as

$$\mu(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{(1-r^l)^\beta}\right) \quad 0 < \alpha, l, \beta < \infty.$$

The class of rapidly increasing weights, introduced in [8], lies entirely within  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ , and a typical example of such a weight is

$$\mu(z) = \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2) \left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|^2}\right)^\alpha}, \quad 1 < \alpha < \infty.$$

To this end we emphasize that the containment in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  or  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  does not require differentiability, continuity or strict positivity. In fact, weights in these classes may vanish on a relatively large part of each outer annulus  $\{z : r \leq |z| < 1\}$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ . For basic properties of the aforementioned classes, concrete nontrivial examples and more, see [6, 8, 10] and the relevant references therein.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to proving the preliminary results needed in the proofs of the main results of the paper. Theorems 2 and 3 are proved in Section 3 and Section 4 contains a proof of Proposition 1.

Finally, we introduce the following notation that has already been used above in the introduction. The letter  $C = C(\cdot)$  will denote an absolute constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation  $a \lesssim b$  if there exists a constant  $C = C(\cdot) > 0$  such that  $a \leq Cb$ , and  $a \gtrsim b$  is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if  $a \lesssim b$  and  $a \gtrsim b$ , then we write  $a \asymp b$  and say that  $a$  and  $b$  are comparable.

## 2 Preliminary Results

### 2.1 Background on Weights

Throughout the paper we will employ different descriptions of the classes of radial weights  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}, \check{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . The next result gathers several characterizations of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  proved in [6, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma A** *Let  $\omega$  be a radial weight. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ ;

(ii) There exist  $C = C(\omega) \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\omega) > 0$  such that

$$\widehat{\omega}(s) \leq C \left( \frac{1-s}{1-t} \right)^\alpha \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < 1,$$

for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ;

(iii)

$$\omega_x = \int_0^1 s^x \omega(s) ds \asymp \widehat{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right), \quad x \in [1, \infty);$$

(iv) There exists  $C(\omega) > 0$  such that  $\omega_n \leq C\omega_{2n}$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will use a couple of descriptions of the class  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  which are known for experts. We include a proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4** Let  $\omega$  be a radial weight. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ ;

(ii) There exist  $C = C(\omega) > 0$  and  $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$  such that

$$\widehat{\omega}(s) \leq C \left( \frac{1-s}{1-t} \right)^\beta \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq s < 1;$$

(iii) For each (or some)  $\gamma > 0$  there exists  $C = C(\gamma, \omega) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^r \frac{ds}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^\gamma (1-s)} \leq \frac{C}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

**Proof** The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) was proved in [11, Lemma B]. Next, assume (ii) holds. Then,

$$\int_0^r \frac{ds}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^\gamma (1-s)} \leq \frac{C^\gamma (1-r)^{\gamma\beta}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\gamma} \int_0^r \frac{ds}{(1-s)^{1+\gamma\beta}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Now assume that (iii) holds. Then, if  $0 \leq t \leq r < 1$

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^\gamma} \log \frac{1-t}{1-r} \leq \int_t^r \frac{ds}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^\gamma (1-s)} \leq \int_0^r \frac{ds}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^\gamma (1-s)} \leq \frac{C}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\gamma}.$$

So if  $r = 1 - \frac{1-t}{K}$  and  $K > 1$ , we get

$$\widehat{\omega}(t) \geq \left( \frac{\log K}{C} \right)^{1/\gamma} \widehat{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1-t}{K} \right), \quad 0 \leq t < 1.$$

Consequently, taking  $K > e^C$ , we get  $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ . This finishes the proof. □

## 2.2 Estimates of the Integral Means of Fractional Derivative of Bergman Reproducing Kernel

For any radial weight  $\omega$ , the norm convergence in  $A_\omega^2$  implies the uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and hence each point evaluation  $L_z$  is a bounded linear functional on  $A_\omega^2$ . Therefore there exist Bergman reproducing kernels  $B_z^\omega \in A_\omega^2$  such that

$$L_z(f) = f(z) = \langle f, B_z^\omega \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_z^\omega(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad f \in A_\omega^2.$$

In this section we are going to obtain asymptotic estimates of the integral means of order one of  $D^\mu(B_z^\omega)$  for  $\omega, \mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{D}}$ . In order to get this result, we need establish some notation and previous results.

Let  $W(z) = \sum_{k \in J} b_k z^k$  be a polynomial, where  $J$  denote a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . The Hadamard product

$$(W * f)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is well defined. Furthermore, it is easy to observe that

$$(W * f)(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi W(e^{i(t-\theta)}) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta. \tag{2.1}$$

For a given  $C^\infty$ -function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with compact support, set

$$A_{\Phi,m} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| + m \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi^{(m)}(x)|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

and define the polynomials

$$W_n^\Phi(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.2}$$

The Hardy space  $H^p$  consists of  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which  $\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty$ , where  $M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , and  $M_\infty(r, f) = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|$ . The next result can be found in [5, pp. 111–113].

**Theorem B** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a compactly supported  $C^\infty$ -function. Then, for each  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $mp > 1$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(p) > 0$  such that*

$$\|W_n^\Phi * f\|_{H^p} \leq CA_{\Phi,m} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

for all  $f \in H^p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A particular case of the previous construction is useful for our purposes. By following [4, Section 2] (see also [11, Proposition 4]), let  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\Psi \equiv 1$  on  $(-\infty, 1]$ ,  $\Psi \equiv 0$  on  $[2, \infty)$  and  $\Psi$  is decreasing and positive on  $(1, 2)$ . Set  $\psi(t) = \Psi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) - \Psi(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $V_0(z) = 1 + z$  and

$$V_n(z) = W_{2^{n-1}}^\psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \psi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) z^k = \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \psi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.3}$$

these polynomials have the following properties (see [4, p. 175–177]):

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty (V_n * f)(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \\ \|V_n * f\|_{H^p} &\leq C \|f\|_{H^p}, \quad f \in H^p, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \\ \|V_n\|_{H^p} &\asymp 2^{n(1-1/p)}, \quad 0 < p < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

**Proposition 5** Let  $\omega, \mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then,

$$M_1(r, D^\mu(B_a^\omega)) \asymp 1 + \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\mu}(t)(1-t)} dt, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}, r \in [0, 1).$$

**Proof** Firstly, assume  $\frac{1}{2} \leq |a|, r < 1$ . Bearing in mind Eq. 2.4,

$$M_1(r, D^\mu(B_a^\omega)) \leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|V_n * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r\|_{H^1}, \tag{2.5}$$

On the one hand, by Lemma A and the formula  $B_a^\omega(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\bar{a}z)^n}{2\omega_{2n+1}}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_0 * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r\|_{H^1} &= \left\| \frac{1}{2\omega_1\mu_1} + \frac{\bar{a}rz}{2\omega_3\mu_3} \right\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{2\omega_1\mu_1} + \frac{|a|r}{2\omega_3\mu_3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(r|a|\widehat{\omega}(r|a|)(1-r|a|)} \int_{\frac{4r|a|}{3}}^{r|a|} dt \\ &\asymp \int_{\frac{4r|a|}{3}}^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(t)\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt \leq \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(t)\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt, \quad r, |a| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Analogously,

$$\|V_1 * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r\|_{H^1} \lesssim \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(t)\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt, \quad r, |a| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  let us consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1,n}(x) &= \frac{r^x}{\omega_{2x+1}} \chi_{[2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}-1]}(x), \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1, \\ \varphi_{2,n}(x) &= \frac{|a|^x}{\mu_{2x+1}} \chi_{[2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}-1]}(x), \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |a| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and choose  $C^\infty$ -functions  $\Phi_{1,n}$  and  $\Phi_{2,n}$  with compact support contained in  $[2^{n-2}, 2^{n+2}]$  such that  $\Phi_{1,n} = \varphi_{1,n}$  and  $\Phi_{2,n} = \varphi_{2,n}$  in  $[2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}-1]$ . By following the proof of [11, (3.12)], there exist  $C_1 = C_1(\omega) > 0$  and  $C_2 = C_2(\mu) > 0$  such that

$$A_{\Phi_{1,n},2} \leq C_1 \frac{r^{2^{n-1}}}{\omega_{2^n}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\Phi_{2,n},2} \leq C_1 \frac{|a|^{2^{n-1}}}{\mu_{2^n}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r, |a| < 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Then, if  $a = |a|e^{i\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned} V_n * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \psi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) \frac{r^k}{\omega_{2k+1}} \frac{|a|^k}{\mu_{2k+1}} (ze^{-i\theta})^k \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \psi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) \Phi_{1,n}(k)\Phi_{2,n}(k)(ze^{-i\theta})^k \\ &= (W_1^{\Phi_{1,n}} * W_1^{\Phi_{2,n}} * V_n)(ze^{-i\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem B, Eqs. 2.8 and 2.4 implies that there is  $C = C(\mu, \omega) > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$

$$\|V_n * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r\|_{H^1} \leq CA_{\Phi_{1,n},2}A_{\Phi_{2,n},2}\|V_n\|_{H^1} \leq C \frac{(r|a|)^{2^{n-1}}}{\omega_{2^n}\mu_{2^n}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r, |a| < 1.$$

Then, arguing as in the proof of [11, Lemma 6] and using the hypotheses  $\omega, \mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \|V_n * (D^\mu(B_a^\omega))_r\|_{H^1} &\lesssim \left( \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(r|a|)^{2^{n-1}}}{\omega_{2^n}\mu_{2^n}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(t)\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt, \quad r, |a| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

By joining Eqs. 2.5, 2.6, 2.7 and 2.9,

$$M_1(r, D^\mu(B_a^\omega)) \lesssim \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\mu}(t)(1-t)} dt, \quad r, |a| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, if  $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  or  $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$M_1(r, D^\mu(B_a^\omega)) \leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^n}{2^{\mu_{2n+1}}\omega_{2n+1}} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus Eqs. 2.10 and 2.11 yields

$$M_1(r, D^\mu(B_a^\omega)) \lesssim 1 + \int_0^{r|a|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\mu}(t)(1-t)} dt.$$

The reverse inequality follows from Hardy’s inequality [2, Section 3.6] and the proof of [11, Lemma 6]. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 2.3 Previous Results on $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ and $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$ .

For a radial weight  $\omega$  the orthogonal projection from  $L_\omega^2$  to  $A_\omega^2$  is given by

$$P_\omega(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_\zeta^\omega(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If  $\omega = 1$ , we simply write  $P_\omega = P$  and  $B_\zeta^\omega(\zeta) = B_\zeta(\zeta) = (1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^{-2}, z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ .

We begin with a useful representation of the fractional derivative of  $P_\omega(f), f \in L_\omega^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

**Proposition 6** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial weight and  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then,*

$$D^\mu(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad f \in A_\omega^1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$D^\mu P_\omega(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad f \in L_\omega^p, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

**Proof** Fix  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) &= \int_0^1 2r \omega(r) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{f}(n) r^n e^{in\theta} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k r^k e^{-ik\theta}}{2^{\mu} 2^{k+1} \omega_{2k+1}} \right) d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \omega(r) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{f}(n) z^n}{\mu_{2n+1} \omega_{2n+1}} r^{2n+1} dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{f}(n)}{\mu_{2n+1}} z^n = D^\mu(f)(z), \quad f \in A_\omega^1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $f \in A_\omega^1$ , Eq. 2.12 holds. Next, if  $f \in L_\omega^p$  then  $P_\omega(f) \in A_\omega^p$  by [10, Theorem 7]. Therefore, two applications of Eq. 2.12 and Fubini’s Theorem yield

$$\begin{aligned} D^\mu P_\omega(f)(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} P_\omega(f)(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} P_\omega(f)(\rho\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(u) B_u^\omega(\rho\zeta) \omega(u) dA(u) \right) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(u) \omega(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_u^\omega(\rho\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta^\omega)(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right) dA(u) \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(u) D^\mu(B_u^\omega)(\rho z) \omega(u) dA(u), \end{aligned}$$

Finally, bearing in mind that  $D^\mu(B_u^\omega)(\rho z)$  converges uniformly in  $u \in \mathbb{D}$  to  $D^\mu(B_u^\omega)(z)$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(u) D^\mu(B_u^\omega)(\rho z) \omega(u) dA(u) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(u) D^\mu(B_u^\omega)(z) \omega(u) dA(u).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Now, we provide some useful descriptions of  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  which can be proved by standard techniques, so we omit its proof.

**Proposition 7** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial weight. Then,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ .
- (ii) Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  if and only if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = 0,$$

where  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  is the closure in  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  of the set of polynomials.

### 3 Main Results

**Proof of Theorem 2** In order to prove the first part of our statement we are going to see that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|z V_\mu(f)\|_{L^\infty}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}),$$

where  $V_\mu(f)(z) = \frac{\widehat{\mu}(z)}{|z|} D^\mu(f)(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Precisely, we will prove that the functional

$$L_f(g) = \langle g, f \rangle_{A^2} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \langle g, f_r \rangle_{L^2},$$

belongs to  $(A^1)^*$  and  $\|L_f\| \lesssim \|zV_\mu(f)\|_{L^\infty}$ , this fact together with [14, Theorem 5.3] will finish the proof.

Let  $h \in A^1$ , then by Eq. 2.12

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, V_\mu(f_r) \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(z) \frac{\widehat{\mu}(z)}{|z|} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{f_r(\zeta)} D^\mu(B_z)(\zeta) dA(\zeta) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{f_r(\zeta)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(z) D^\mu(B_z)(\zeta) \frac{\widehat{\mu}(z)}{|z|} dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \langle T(h), f_r \rangle_{L^2}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$T(h)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta)(z) \frac{\widehat{\mu}(\zeta)}{|\zeta|} dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T(h)(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta)(z) \frac{\widehat{\mu}(\zeta)}{|\zeta|} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_0^1 2\widehat{\mu}(r) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{h}(n) r^n e^{in\theta} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k r^k e^{-ik\theta}}{\mu_{2k+1}} (k+1) \right) d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^1 2\widehat{\mu}(r) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{n+1}{\mu_{2n+1}} \widehat{h}(n) z^n r^{2n} dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2(n+1)\widehat{\mu}_{2n}}{\mu_{2n+1}} \widehat{h}(n) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2(n+1)}{2n+1} \widehat{h}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

So if  $\tilde{g}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2n+1}{2(n+1)} \widehat{g}(n) z^n \in A^1$ ,  $g(z) = T(\tilde{g})(z)$  and by Eq. 3.1

$$\langle g, f_r \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \tilde{g}, V_\mu(f_r) \rangle_{L^2}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us prove

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_{A^1} \lesssim \|g\|_{A^1}, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Notice that  $\tilde{g}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2n+1}{2(n+1)} \widehat{g}(n) z^n = g(z) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \widehat{g}(n) z^n = g(z) - h(z)$  and  $2zh(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{g}(n)}{n+1} z^{n+1}$  is the primitive of  $g$  with value 0 at the origin. So, by the Littlewood-Paley equivalence for  $A^1$  [14, Theorem 4.28]

$$\|h\|_{A^1} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(z)| dA(z) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |2zh(z)| dA(z) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|(1 - |z|) dA(z) \leq \|g\|_{A^1},$$

and therefore  $\|\tilde{g}\|_{A^1} \leq \|g\|_{A^1} + \|h\|_{A^1} \lesssim \|g\|_{A^1}$ . This fact together with Eq. 3.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} |(g, f_r)_{L^2}| &= |(\tilde{g}, V_\mu(f_r))_{L^2}| \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\tilde{g}(z)| |V_\mu(f_r)(z)| dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|\tilde{g}(z)|}{|z|} |zV_\mu(f_r)(z)| dA(z) \lesssim \|\tilde{g}\|_{A^1} \|zV_\mu(f_r)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|g\|_{A^1} \|zV_\mu(f_r)\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, let us see that for every  $0 < r < 1$

$$\|zV_\mu(f_r)\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f_r)(z)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu}. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to prove this last inequality, notice that  $\widehat{\mu}(z)D^\mu(f_r)(z)$  is a continuous function in  $\mathbb{D}$  for every  $0 < r < 1$ , so there exists  $z_r \in \mathbb{D}$  where the supremum is reached. In addition,  $z_r \in \mathbb{D}$  because  $\widehat{\mu} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f_r)(z)| &= \widehat{\mu}(z_r) |D^\mu(f_r)(z_r)| \\ &\leq \widehat{\mu}(z_r) \sup_{|u|=|z_r|} |D^\mu(f)(u)| = \sup_{|u|=|z_r|} \widehat{\mu}(u) |D^\mu(f)(u)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, joining Eqs. 3.3 and 3.4,  $L_f \in (A^1)^*$  and  $\|L_f\| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu}$ .

Now, let us prove that  $\mathcal{B}_0^\mu \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ . By Proposition 7  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = 0$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0^\mu$ , which together with Eq. 1.4 implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = 0, \quad \text{for each } f \in \mathcal{B}_0^\mu.$$

This finishes the proof. □

**Proof of Theorem 3** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B} \subset A^1$ , Eq. 2.12 yields

$$D^\mu(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) D^\mu(B_\zeta)(z) dA(\zeta).$$

Moreover, since the Bergman projection  $P : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is bounded and onto there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $P(h) = f$  and  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \asymp \|h\|_{L^\infty}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D^\mu(f)(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(u) B_u(\zeta) dA(u) \right) D^\mu(B_\zeta)(z) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} D^\mu(B_\zeta)(z) B_u(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right) dA(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} h(u) \overline{D^\mu(B_z)(u)} dA(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using Proposition 5 and Lemma 4(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\mu(f)(z)| &\leq \|h\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |D^\mu(B_z)(u)| dA(u) \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_0^1 \left( 1 + \int_0^{s|z|} \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(t)(1-t)^2} dt \right) ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \left( 1 + \int_0^1 \frac{|z|}{\widehat{\mu}(s|z|(1-s|z|)} ds \right) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{\widehat{\mu}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (ii) holds.

(ii)⇒(i). Firstly, let us prove that  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Testing Eq. 1.5 on monomials we obtain a constant  $C = C(\mu) > 0$  such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(r)r^n \leq C\mu_{2n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Therefore

$$\mu_{\frac{3}{2}n+1} = \left(\frac{3}{2}n+1\right) \int_0^1 r^{\frac{3}{2}n} \widehat{\mu}(r) dr \leq C \left(\frac{3}{2}n+1\right) \mu_{2n+1} \int_0^1 r^{\frac{1}{2}n} dr \leq 3C\mu_{2n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

So, if  $x \geq 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $n \leq x < n + 1$ ,

$$\mu_{\frac{3}{2}x} \leq C\mu_{\frac{3}{2}x+1} \leq C\mu_{\frac{3}{2}n+1} \leq C\mu_{2n+1} \leq C\mu_{2x-2} \leq C\mu_{2x}.$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant that changes in every inequality only depending on  $\mu$ . Naming  $y = \frac{3}{2}x$ ,

$$\mu_y \leq C\mu_{\frac{4}{3}y} \leq C^2\mu_{\frac{16}{9}y} \leq C^3\mu_{\frac{64}{27}y} \leq C^3\mu_{2y}, \quad y \geq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Consequently,  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  by Lemma A (iv).

Now, let us prove that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . By [10, Theorem 2] it is sufficient to prove that for every  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists  $g \in L^\infty$  such that  $P_\mu(g) = f$  and  $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{f}(n)z^n \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{h}(n)z^n \in \mathcal{B}^\mu$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu(\widehat{\mu} \cdot D_\mu(h))(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(\zeta) D_\mu(h)(\zeta) \overline{B_z^\mu(\zeta)} \mu(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{h}(n)\zeta^n}{\mu_{2n+1}} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\overline{\zeta}^k z^k}{2\mu_{2k+1}} \right) \mu(\zeta) \widehat{\mu}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_0^1 r \mu(r) \widehat{\mu}(r) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{h}(n)r^n e^{in\theta}}{\mu_{2n+1}} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{r^k e^{-ik\theta} z^k}{\mu_{2k+1}} \right) d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \mu(r) \widehat{\mu}(r) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\widehat{h}(n)r^{2n+1}}{\mu_{2n+1}^2} z^n dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2n+1}}{\mu_{2n+1}^2} \widehat{h}(n)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if we take  $g(z) = \widehat{\mu}(z)D^\mu(h)(z)$  with  $h$  defined by  $\widehat{h}(n) = \frac{\mu_{2n+1}^2}{(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2n+1}} \widehat{f}(n)$ , all that we need to prove is that  $\lambda_n = \frac{(\mu_{2n+1}^2)}{(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2n+1}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , is a coefficient multiplier of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, by hypothesis

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \lesssim \|h\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and  $P_\mu(g) = f$ . In order to prove that  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a coefficient multiplier of  $\mathcal{B}$  it is enough to see that

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} (1-r)M_1(r, \lambda^{[1]}) < \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

This follows from the equivalence  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \asymp \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|)^\beta \|f^{[\beta]}(z)\|$  [1] for the multiplier transformation  $f^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (n + 1)^\beta \widehat{f}(n)z^n$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , and the inequalities

$$|(\lambda * f)^{[2]}(r^2 e^{i\theta})|(1 - r)^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \lambda^{[1]}(r e^{i(t+\theta)}) f^{[1]}(r e^{-i\theta}) d\theta \right| (1 - r)^2 \leq M_\infty(r, f^{[1]}) M_1(r, \lambda^{[1]}) (1 - r)^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} M_1(r, \lambda^{[1]}) (1 - r),$$

where  $\lambda(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n z^n$ .

By Eq. 2.4,

$$M_1(r, \lambda^{[1]}) = \|(\lambda^{[1]})_r\|_{H^1} \leq C(\mu) + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \|V_n * (\lambda^{[1]})_r\|_{H^1}, \quad 0 < r < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $r \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  consider

$$F_n(x) = \frac{\mu_{2x+1}^2}{(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2x+1}} r^x \chi_{[2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and  $G_n(x) = (x + 1)F_n(x)$ . On the other hand, by Lemma A(iii)

$$(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2x+1} = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2x + 1}\right)^2 \asymp \mu_{2x+1}^2, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

In addition, for each radial weight  $\nu$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\nu) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^1 s^x \left(\log \frac{1}{s}\right)^n \nu(s) ds \leq C\nu_x, \quad n \in \{1, 2\}, \quad x \geq 2.$$

So, a direct calculation implies that there exists a constant  $C = C(\mu) > 0$  such that

$$|G_n''(x)| \leq C|G_n(x)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \quad r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \quad x \geq 2. \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, Eqs. 3.8 and 3.7 yield

$$\begin{aligned} A_{F_n, 2} &= \max_{x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]} |G_n(x)| + \max_{x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]} |G_n''(x)| \lesssim \max_{x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]} |G_n(x)| \\ &\lesssim \max_{x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]} (x + 1)r^x \lesssim 2^n r^{2^{n-1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , choose a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\Phi_n$  with compact support contained in  $[2^{n-2}, 2^{n+2}]$  such that  $\Phi_n = G_n$  on  $[2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]$  and

$$A_{\Phi_n, 2} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi_n(x)| + \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi_n''(x)| \lesssim 2^n r^{2^{n-1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since

$$W_1^{\Phi_n}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_n(k) z^k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [2^{n-2}, 2^{n+2}]} \Phi_n(k) z^k,$$

Eq. 2.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_n * (\lambda^{[1]})_r(z) &= \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \phi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) (k + 1) \frac{\mu_{2k+1}^2}{(\mu\widehat{\mu})_{2k+1}} r^k z^k = \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \phi\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) \Phi_n(k) z^k \\ &= \left(W_1^{\Phi_n} * V_n\right)(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Theorem B, Eqs. 3.9 and 2.4, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_n * (\lambda^{[1]})_r\|_{H^1} &= \|W_1^{\Phi_n} * V_n\|_{H^1} \lesssim A_{\Phi_n,2} \|V_n\|_{H^1} \lesssim 2^n r^{2^{n-1}} \|V_n\|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim 2^n r^{2^{n-1}}, \quad r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

which combined with Eq. 3.6 gives

$$M_1(r, \lambda^{[1]}) \lesssim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^n r^{2^{n-1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{1-r}, \quad r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right).$$

Therefore Eq. 3.5 holds, and thus  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ .

The equivalence between (ii) and (iii) follows from Theorem 2. Therefore, we have already proved (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

Next, let us prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Take  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , then  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = 0$ , which together with (ii) implies that  $f \in \mathcal{B}^\mu$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0^\mu} = 0$ . So,  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0^\mu$  by Proposition 7.

Now let us prove (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). If (iv) holds, then

$$\|f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \lesssim \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Moreover, for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f)(z)| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \widehat{\mu}(r) |D^\mu(f)(rz)| \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu},$$

so

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

That is (ii) holds.

The equivalence between (iv) and (v) follows from Theorem 2. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 4 Relationship Between $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ and $H^\infty$

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E(x)$  denotes the integer such that  $E(x) \leq x < E(x) + 1$ . The following technical lemma will be used in the proof of Proposition 1. It can be deduced from the proof of [7, Lemma 8] but we prove it for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 8** *Let  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  such that  $\widehat{\omega}(0) = 1$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  denote by  $r_n$  the smallest  $\infty \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\widehat{\omega}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2^n}$ , and  $M_n = E\left(\frac{1}{1-r_n}\right)$ . Then,*

$$1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r^{M_n} \asymp \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

**Proof** We may assume that  $r_1 \leq r < 1$ . Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r_N \leq r < r_{N+1}$ , and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r^{M_n} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r_N^{M_n} \geq 2^N r_N^{M_N} \asymp 2^N \asymp 2^{N+1} = \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r_{N+1})} \geq \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)}.$$

In order to prove the reverse inequality. Firstly, we deal with  $r = r_N$  and we split the series in two terms:

$$\sum_{n=0}^N 2^n r_N^{M_n} \leq r_N^{M_N} \sum_{n=0}^N 2^n \asymp 2^{N+1} \asymp \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r_N)}.$$

For the remaining term, by Lemma A (ii) there exists  $\alpha > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1 - r_n}{1 - r_{n+j}} \geq C \left( \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r_n)}{\widehat{\omega}(r_{n+j})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = C 2^{\frac{j}{\alpha}}, \quad n, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

This, the inequality

$$\log \frac{1}{x} \geq 1 - x, \quad 0 < x \leq 1,$$

and the definition of  $r_n$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} 2^n r_N^{M_n} &= 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j r_N^{M_{N+j}} = 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j e^{-M_{N+j} \log \frac{1}{r_N}} \\ &\leq 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j e^{-\frac{1-r_N}{1-r_{N+j}}} \leq 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j e^{-C 2^{\frac{j}{\alpha}}} \asymp 2^N = \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r_N)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r_1 \leq r < 1$ , take  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r_N \leq r < r_{N+1}$ , and then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r^{M_n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r_{N+1}^{M_n} \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r_{N+1})} = \frac{2}{\widehat{\omega}(r_N)} \leq \frac{2}{\widehat{\omega}(r)}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

**Proof of Proposition 1** If  $H^\infty \not\subset \mathcal{B}^\mu$ , then its obvious that  $H^\infty \neq \mathcal{B}^\mu$ .

Next assume  $H^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}^\mu$ . Then, bearing in mind Theorem 2 we have that convergence in  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$  implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and then standard arguments imply that  $H^\infty$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ , that is there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} \leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty}, \quad f \in H^\infty.$$

Then, by testing this inequality on monomials and arguing as in the proof of (ii)⇒(i) of Theorem 3 it follows that  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Next, without loss of generality assume that  $\widehat{\mu}(0) = 1$  and consider the function

$$f(z) = \mu_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{2M_n+1} 2^n z^{M_n} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}),$$

where  $\{M_n\}$  are associated to  $\mu$  via the statement of Lemma 8. Let us prove  $f \in \mathcal{B}^\mu \setminus H^\infty$ . By Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) |D^\mu(f)(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mu}(z) \left| 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n z^{M_n} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \widehat{\mu}(r) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n r^{M_n} \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is  $f \in \mathcal{B}^\mu$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 8 and Lemma A(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{2M_n+1} 2^n &= \int_0^1 t \mu(t) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n t^{2M_n} \right) dt \\ &\asymp \int_0^1 t \frac{\mu(t)}{\widehat{\mu}(t^2)} dt \asymp \int_0^1 t \frac{\mu(t)}{\widehat{\mu}(t)} dt = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f \notin H^\infty$  because it has positive Taylor coefficients. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This research was supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, project PID2022-136619NB-I00; La Junta de Andalucía, project FQM210.

**Author Contributions** A. M. Moreno, J. A. Peláez and E. de la Rosa have equally contributed in each part of the paper.

**Funding** Funding for open access publishing: Universidad Málaga/CBUA. This research was supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, project PID2022-136619NB-I00; La Junta de Andalucía, project FQM210.

**Availability of data and materials** This manuscript has no associate data and materials.

## Declarations

**Ethical Approval** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

1. Choe, B.R., Rim, K.S.: Fractional derivatives of Bloch functions, growth rate, and interpolation. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **72**(1–2), 67–86 (1996)
2. Duren, P.: *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38 Academic Press, New York-London 1970 xii+258 pp (2023)
3. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.L.: Some properties of fractional integrals. II. *Math. Z.* **34**(1), 403–439 (1932)
4. Jevtić, M., Pavlović, M.: On multipliers from  $H^p$  to  $l^q$ ,  $0 < q < p < 1$ . *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **56**(2), 174–180 (1991)
5. Pavlović, M.: *Introduction to function spaces on the Disk*, Posebna Izdanja [Special Editions], vol. 20, Matematički Institut SANU, Beograd, 2004. available online at: [http://f3.tiera.ru/2/M\\_Mathematics/MC\\_Calculus/MCc\\_Complex%20variable/Pavlovic%20M.%20](http://f3.tiera.ru/2/M_Mathematics/MC_Calculus/MCc_Complex%20variable/Pavlovic%20M.%20)
6. Peláez, J.A.: Small weighted Bergman spaces. *Proceedings of the summer school in complex and harmonic analysis, and related topics*, (2016)
7. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Generalized Hilbert operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *Adv. Math.* **240**, 227–267 (2013)
8. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227**(1066) (2014)
9. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Two weight inequality for Bergman projection. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **105**(1), 102–130 (2016)
10. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Bergman projection induced by radial weight. *Adv. Math.* **391**, 70 Paper No. 107950 (2021)
11. Peláez, J.A., de la Rosa, E.: Littlewood-Paley inequalities for fractional derivative on Bergman spaces. *Ann. Fenn. Math.* **47**(2), 1109–1130 (2022)
12. Perälä, A.: General fractional derivatives and the Bergman projection. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **45**(2), 903–913 (2020)
13. Zhu, K.: Bergman and Hardy spaces with small exponents. *Pacific J. Math.* **162**(1), 189–199 (1994)

14. Zhu, K.: Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Second Edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 138, American Mathematical Society: Providence, Rhode Island, (2007)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.