

A note on spacelike submanifolds through light cones in Lorentzian space forms

Verónica L. Cánovas[‡], Daniel de la Fuente^{*} and Francisco J. Palomo[†] ^{*}

[‡] Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Murcia, E-30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain
E-mail: veronica.lopez10@um.es

^{*} Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Oviedo, 33204 Gijón, Spain
E-mail: fuentedaniel@uniovi.es

[†] Departamento de Matemática Aplicada,
Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain
E-mail: fjpalomo@ctima.uma.es

Abstract

We analyse the intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of spacelike submanifolds in light cones of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes by means of an explicit correspondence with the spacelike submanifolds through the light cone in the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime. In particular, a characterization of totally umbilical compact surfaces through light cones in de Sitter and anti-de Sitter is shown and we obtain an estimation of the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on a compact spacelike surface in a light cone.

2010 MSC: 53C40, 53C42, 53C50, 53B30.

Keywords: de Sitter spacetime; anti-de Sitter spacetime; spacelike surface; light cone.

^{*}The first author is partially supported by Spanish MINECO/FEDER project MTM2015-65430-P and Fundación Séneca project reference 19901/GERM/15, Spain. The second one by Spanish MINECO and FEDER funds project MTM2016-78807-C2-1-P. The third one by Spanish MINECO and FEDER funds project MTM2016-78807-C2-2-P.

1 Introduction

A null hypersurface \mathcal{L} into a spacetime is a codimension one embedded submanifold such that the pullback of the Lorentzian metric is degenerate at every point. Null hypersurfaces have not only an interesting geometry, but they also play an important role in General Relativity, where they arise as black hole event horizons and Cauchy horizons.

For the study of null hypersurfaces the theory of non-degenerate submanifolds fails. In fact, there is a non trivial intersection between the tangent and the normal bundles of null hypersurfaces. In order to avoid such difficulty, a distribution, transverse to the radical of the pullback of the metric, is usually introduced on \mathcal{L} (see for instance [4] and references therein).

Although the induced metric is degenerate on \mathcal{L} , the family of (non-degenerate) spacelike submanifolds through \mathcal{L} gives remarkable properties to the null hypersurface and conversely, under the assumption that a spacelike submanifold Σ factorizes through a fixed null hypersurface \mathcal{L} , the intrinsic geometry of Σ becomes limited. For example, recall the classical result by Brinkmann which states that an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, with $n > 2$, is locally conformally flat if and only if it can be locally isometrically immersed in the light cone of the $(n + 2)$ -dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime \mathbb{L}^{n+2} (see [3] for a modern proof). From the extrinsic point of view, the spacelike submanifolds through null hypersurfaces have also been considered. For example, codimension two spacelike submanifolds which factorizes through a light cone of de Sitter spacetime have been recently studied in [1], where the compact marginally trapped ones have been characterized.

On the other hand, it has been pointed out that there is a strong relationship between the extrinsic and the intrinsic geometries of submanifolds through the light cone in the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime [9], [10]. Inspired by this point of view, our main aim in this note is to show a natural correspondence between the light cone of the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime and the null hypersurfaces, also called light cones, of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes. By means of this correspondence, several results of [9] and [10] can be adapted to de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes. We denote here (anti)-de Sitter when we are talking about any of them.

Specifically, we consider codimension two spacelike submanifolds which factorizes through a light cone of (anti)-de Sitter spacetime and we establish a correspondence between these submanifolds and codimension two spacelike submanifolds which factorizes through the light cone in the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime \mathbb{L}^n . The intrinsic geometries of the corresponding submanifolds are actually the same but the extrinsic ones are different (see Section 3). Then, we focus on the case of spacelike surfaces which factorizes through a light cone of the 4-dimensional (anti)-de Sitter spacetime, where we obtain our main results.

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to recall the basic formulae of codimension two spacelike immersions in a Lorentzian manifold with constant sectional curvature. In Section 3 we define the light cones in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime, and we establish the cited correspondence between codimension two spacelike submanifolds through a light cone in $\mathbb{S}_1^n(c)$

and $\mathbb{H}_1^n(c)$ and codimension two spacelike submanifolds that factorizes through the light cone of \mathbb{L}^n . This correspondence allows us to show that the scalar curvature S of a spacelike immersion $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ through a light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ is given by (see notation in Section 3)

$$S = n(n-1) \left[\langle \mathbf{H}_\psi, \mathbf{H}_\psi \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \right].$$

This formula relates intrinsic data (the scalar curvature) and extrinsic data (the mean curvature vector field \mathbf{H}_ψ).

Section 5 is focussed on the case of surfaces which factorize through a light cone of the 4-dimensional (anti)-de Sitter spacetime. In this case, we obtain an explicit formula for the Gauss curvature in terms of a height function (see Cor. 5.2). Finally, in Section 5.1 we study the compact case. In this case, the surface Σ must be a topological sphere and the above formula for the scalar curvature can be integrated to give the integral formula

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathbf{H}_\psi|^2 dA = 4\pi - \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \text{Area}(\Sigma).$$

This integral formula looks very similar to the equality case of the generalized Wintgen inequality [11], [12]. However, in the Lorentzian setting, the generalized Wintgen inequality is not satisfied in general. Finally, we deal with the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator of such kind of surfaces through light cones in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime. We obtain a Reilly type inequality (16), which is used to characterize the total umbilical round spheres in a light cone of (anti)-de Sitter spacetime (Th. 5.8). We also study the global geometry of the surfaces. In particular, we give a Liebmann-type result for these surfaces, i.e., we have that a compact spacelike immersion with constant Gauss curvature in a light cone of (anti)-de Sitter spacetime must be totally umbilical (Th. 5.5).

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{L}^{n+1} be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional *Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime*. That is, the real vector space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the Lorentzian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -(dx_0)^2 + (dx_1)^2 + \cdots + (dx_n)^2, \quad (1)$$

where (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) are the canonical coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The n -dimensional *de Sitter spacetime* of radius $c > 0$ is defined as the hyperquadric

$$\mathbb{S}_1^n(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{L}^{n+1} : \langle x, x \rangle = c^2\}. \quad (2)$$

As it is well known, $\mathbb{S}_1^n(c)$ inherits from \mathbb{L}^{n+1} a time orientable Lorentzian metric with constant sectional curvature equal to $1/c^2$.

On the other hand, we denote by \mathbb{E}_2^{n+1} the $(n+1)$ -dimensional real space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the indefinite metric of index 2

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = -(dx_0)^2 - (dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2 + \cdots + (dx_n)^2, \quad (3)$$

Then, the n -dimensional *anti-de Sitter spacetime* of radius $c > 0$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{H}_1^n(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{E}_2^{n+1} : \langle x, x \rangle' = -c^2\}. \quad (4)$$

$\mathbb{H}_1^n(c)$ is a time orientable Lorentzian manifold with constant sectional curvature equal to $-1/c^2$. From now on, we will write $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ to refer to either $\mathbb{S}_1^n(c)$, if $c > 0$, or $\mathbb{H}_1^n(c)$, if $c < 0$, and, in order to simplify the notation, we will represent both metrics (1) and (3) by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Unless otherwise were started, from now on, we assume $n \geq 4$. Let Σ be an $(n-2)$ -dimensional connected manifold and $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ a smooth immersion such that the induced metric on Σ is Riemannian. In this case, Σ is said to be a codimension two spacelike submanifold immersed in $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$. The induced metric on Σ via ψ will be also denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let us write $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ for the Levi-Civita connections of $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ and Σ respectively, and we denote by ∇^\perp the normal connection of Σ in $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$. With this notation, the Gauss and Weingarten formulae of ψ are written respectively as

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \text{II}(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi, \quad (5)$$

for any tangent vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\Sigma)$ and any normal vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(\Sigma)$. Here, II denotes the vector valued second fundamental form of Σ ,

$$\text{II} : \mathfrak{X}(\Sigma) \times \mathfrak{X}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\perp(\Sigma).$$

The shape (or Weingarten) operator A_ξ corresponding to ξ is related to the second fundamental form by

$$\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle \text{II}(X, Y), \xi \rangle. \quad (6)$$

As usual, we define the mean curvature vector field of the submanifold Σ by

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{n-2} \text{tr}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \text{II} \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(\Sigma),$$

where $\text{tr}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ denotes the trace with respect to the induced metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

3 Light cones in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime

In this section we start introducing the notion of light cone in $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$. Let $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a fixed point, then the *light cone of (anti)-de Sitter spacetime with vertex at p* is the hypersurface

$$\Lambda_c(p) := \{q \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) : \langle q - p, q - p \rangle = 0, \quad q \neq p\}, \quad (7)$$

or in an equivalent way, $\Lambda_c(p) = \{q \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) : \langle q, p \rangle = \varepsilon c^2, \quad q \neq p\}$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is the sign of c . For each $q \in \Lambda_c(p)$, the tangent space at q is expressed as

$$T_q \Lambda_c(p) = \{v \in T_q \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) : \langle v, p \rangle = 0\} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \langle v, q \rangle = \langle v, p \rangle = 0\}. \quad (8)$$

In this setting, it is easy to check that $T_q \Lambda_c(p) \cap (T_q \Lambda_c(p))^\perp = \text{Span}\{q - p\}$, and therefore $\Lambda_c(p)$ is a null in the hypersurface $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$.

Let us fix a point $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$, we say that a codimension two spacelike immersion $\psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ factorizes through the light cone at $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ when $\psi(\Sigma) \subset \Lambda_c(p)$. From now on, \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} will denote the $(n+1)$ -dimensional semi-Euclidean space of signature s . Thus, we have $\mathbb{S}_1^n(c) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}_1^n(c) \subset \mathbb{E}_2^{n+1}$. From every immersion $\psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ through the light cone at $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$, we can consider $p^\perp \subset \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$. It is clear that p^\perp is isometric to the n -dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime. The light cone in $p^\perp \simeq \mathbb{L}^n$ with vertex at the origin is the set

$$\Lambda = \{x \in p^\perp : \langle x, x \rangle = 0, x \neq 0\}.$$

The translation $T(x) = x - p$ in \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} induces an isometry from $\Lambda_c(p)$ to Λ . By means of this isometry, we have a one-to-one correspondence between codimension two spacelike immersions $\psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ through $\Lambda_c(p)$ and spacelike immersions $\bar{\psi} := T \circ \psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \Lambda \subset p^\perp$. This correspondence can be summarized in the following commutative square.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold which factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$. Then, there exists a unique spacelike immersion $\bar{\psi} : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \Lambda \subset p^\perp$ such that makes commutative the following diagram,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda \subset p^\perp & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} \\ \bar{\psi} \uparrow & & \uparrow T \\ \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & \Lambda_c(p) \end{array} \quad (9)$$

where j is the inclusion. Moreover, the intrinsic geometries on Σ induced from ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are the same.

This correspondence $\psi \leftrightarrow \bar{\psi}$ allows us to obtain geometrical properties for ψ from the ones of $\bar{\psi}$. The rest of this note will develop several aspects of this point of view.

Remark 3.2. As consequence of [5, Cor. 7.6] (see also [3]) and the previous paragraph, we can deduce that any Riemannian manifold M^n , $n \geq 3$, is locally conformally flat if and only if it can be locally isometrically immersed in the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$.

If we fix a timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$, we can consider the future light cone $\Lambda^+ \subset p^\perp$ and the past lightcone Λ^- of Λ with respect to W . Observe that, using the isometry T , we are able to define the future and the past components of the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ of $\mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ with respect to W in a natural way.

Let $\psi : \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold through the light cone at $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$. Then $\bar{\psi}$ is a spacelike immersion through $\Lambda \subset p^\perp$ and for every unit timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$, we introduce the height function on Σ as

$$\begin{aligned} h_W(x) : \Sigma^{n-2} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\rightarrow -\langle \bar{\psi}(x), W \rangle = -\langle \psi(x), W \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that $h_W(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in \Sigma$ and $h_W > 0$ when $\bar{\psi}$ factorizes through the future light cone $\Lambda^+ \subset p^\perp$ corresponding to W in p^\perp .

4 Codimension two submanifolds through a light cone of (anti)-de Sitter spacetime

Let $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold through a light cone. As was stated in Proposition 3.1, the intrinsic geometries corresponding to ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are the same. At this point we wonder what we can say about the extrinsic geometries of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. Let us denote here with a subscript on \mathbf{H} the mean curvature vector field corresponding to every given immersion. With this notation we can state the next result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold which factorizes through the light cone at $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$. Then*

$$\langle \mathbf{H}_{\bar{\psi}}, \mathbf{H}_{\bar{\psi}} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{H}_{\psi}, \mathbf{H}_{\psi} \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2}, \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ is the corresponding immersion given in Proposition 3.1.

Proof. By the commutative diagram (9) we have $\mathbf{H}_{j \circ \bar{\psi}} = \mathbf{H}_{T \circ \psi}$ and, since $T: \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ is a totally umbilical immersion, it follows $\mathbf{H}_{T \circ \psi} = T_*(\mathbf{H}_{\psi}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{c}N$ where N is the outward unit normal vector field to $T: \mathbb{M}_1^n(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ with $\langle N, N \rangle = \varepsilon$. Finally, we obtain $\langle \mathbf{H}_{\bar{\psi}}, \mathbf{H}_{\bar{\psi}} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{H}_{\psi}, \mathbf{H}_{\psi} \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2}$, as we wanted to prove. \square

The next corollary is a direct consequence of [9, Cor. 4.5] and previous Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$. Then, the scalar curvature S of Σ is given by*

$$S = n(n-1) \left(\langle \mathbf{H}_{\psi}, \mathbf{H}_{\psi} \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \right). \quad (12)$$

\square

In this instance, an immediate consequence of [10, Prop. 5.1] and the correspondence between immersions through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ and p^\perp is the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Every compact spacelike submanifold in $\mathbb{S}_1^n(c)$ or $\mathbb{H}_1^n(c)$ that factorizes through a light cone is a topological $(n-2)$ -sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-2} .*

Even more, from [2, Prop. 5.2], we know that, under some assumptions on the height function, every codimension two spacelike submanifold factorizing through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ is conformally diffeomorphic to the Euclidean sphere.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two compact spacelike submanifold factorizing through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$. If the height function h_w defining in (10) is bounded from above, then Σ is conformally diffeomorphic to the Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^{n+2} .*

This result is a consequence of the fact that we can project Σ into the Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^{n+2} , obtaining that such projection Ψ is a conformal immersion. The conformal factor is given in terms of the height function and, when it is bounded, then Σ is complete with the conformal metric and, finally, we can conclude that Ψ is a global diffeomorphism.

Remark 4.5. Actually, from [2, Lem. 5.1] in Proposition 4.4 it is enough to assume that h_w satisfies condition

$$h_w(p) \leq C r(p) \log(r(p)), \quad r(p) \gg 1,$$

where C is a positive constant and r denotes the Riemannian distance function from a fixed origin $o \in \Sigma$.

It is directly deduced from commutative diagram (9) that a codimension two spacelike submanifold $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ is totally umbilical if and only if, $\bar{\psi}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda \subset p^\perp$ is totally umbilical. Then, the following result is a direct consequence of [2, Th. 5.1].

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n-2} \rightarrow \Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ be a codimension two spacelike submanifold which factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$. If ψ is totally umbilical, then there exist $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$\psi(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma(\mathbf{v}, \tau) = \{x \in \Lambda_c(p) : \langle x - p, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \tau\}.$$

5 Spacelike surfaces through a light cone of (anti)-de Sitter 4-dimensional spacetime

In this section we focus on the case $n = 4$, that is, we consider Σ a spacelike surface immersed in $\mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$. As a direct consequence of Corollary 4.2 or from [10, Cor. 3.7] we are now able to give the following identity.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a spacelike surface through a light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$. Then, the Gauss curvature of Σ may be expressed as*

$$K = \langle \mathbf{H}_\psi, \mathbf{H}_\psi \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2}. \quad (13)$$

□

On the other hand, taking into account the definition of the height function h_w in (10) and [10, Cor. 3.7], we obtain the following expression for the Gauss curvature of Σ .

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a spacelike surface that factorizes through the light cone at $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$. Then, for every unit timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$, the Gauss curvature of Σ is given by*

$$K = \frac{1 + |\nabla h_w|^2}{h_w^2} - \frac{\Delta h_w}{h_w}. \quad (14)$$

In particular, when $\bar{\psi}$ factorizes through the future light cone $\Lambda^+ \subset p^\perp$ coresponding to W , we have

$$K = \frac{1}{h_W^2} - \Delta \log(h_W).$$

□

Remark 5.3. For example, the vector $P = -\varepsilon c^2 \partial_0 + \langle \partial_0, p \rangle p$ satisfies $P \in p^\perp$ and it is not difficult to show that, for $\varepsilon = 1$, P is timelike. Then, the height function h_W for $W := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\langle P, P \rangle}} P$ is given by,

$$h_W(x) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 + \varepsilon \langle \partial_0, p \rangle^2}} \langle \psi(x) - p, \partial_0 \rangle, \quad x \in \Sigma.$$

Now we can relate the sign of the Gauss curvature K with the existence of local extreme points of the function h_W by mean of [10, Prop. 3.11] as follows.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a spacelike surface that factorizes through a future (resp. past) light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ corresponding to $W \in p^\perp$ and with Gauss curvature $K \leq 0$. Then, the function h_W does not attain a local maximum (resp. minimum) value.

□

5.1 Some results for the compact case

We focus now on the case of a compact surface $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^n(c)$ that factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$.

The correspondence expressed in the square (9) gives that a spacelike surface $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ that factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ is totally umbilical if and only if $\bar{\psi} : \Sigma \rightarrow p^\perp$ is totally umbilical. This fact can be used to characterize the totally umbilical surfaces in $\mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ through light cones from [10, Theor. 5.4].

Theorem 5.5. Let $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a compact spacelike surface that factorizes through the light cone at a point $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$. If K is constant, then Σ is a totally umbilical round sphere.

□

The totally umbilical compact spacelike surfaces that factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda \subset p^\perp$ are given by the two-parameter family

$$\mathbb{S}^2(W, \tau) = \{x \in \Lambda : \langle x, W \rangle = \tau\}$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $W \in p^\perp$ with $\langle W, W \rangle = -1$, [10]. As a direct application of Theorem 5.5, we have the following.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a compact spacelike surface that factorizes through the light cone at a point $p \in \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$. The following assertions are equivalent*

1. K is constant.
2. $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ is totally umbilical.
3. There exist $\tau > 0$ and $W \in p^\perp$ with $\langle W, W \rangle = -1$ such that

$$\psi(\Sigma) = \Lambda_c(p) \cap \{x : \langle x, W \rangle = \tau\}.$$

Remark 5.7. Recall that a spacelike surface is called *marginally trapped* if its mean curvature vector field \mathbf{H} is null, i.e., $\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle = 0$ and \mathbf{H} vanishes nowhere. For $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ a marginally trapped spacelike surface that factorizes through the light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$, we have from (13) that $K = \varepsilon/c^2$. If in addition Σ is compact, then Σ is a topological sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Therefore, the Gauss-Bonnet formula implies $\varepsilon = +1$. Therefore there are no closed marginally trapped surfaces that factorizes through a light cone in the anti-de Sitter spacetimes $\mathbb{H}_1^4(c)$ (already proved in a more general setting in [8]). It is known that there exist examples of closed marginally trapped surfaces in the 4-dimensional de Sitter spacetime that factorizes through a light cone (see for instance [6]).

As a direct consequence of (13) and the Gauss-Bonnet formula, the total mean curvature of compact spacelike surfaces in a light cone $\Lambda_c(p) \subset \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ may be expressed as

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathbf{H}_\psi|^2 dA = 4\pi - \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \text{Area}(\Sigma). \quad (15)$$

Formula (15) shows that, for compact spacelike surfaces that factorize through a light cone in $\mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$, the equality in [11, Th. 1] holds.

In order to analyse the spectrum of the Laplace operator of $(\Sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, formula (15) is very useful. First, let us recall that for an arbitrary Riemannian metric g on \mathbb{S}^2 , the minimum non zero eigenvalue of the Laplace operator λ_1 of g satisfies the Hersch inequality [7] which states

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{8\pi}{\text{Area}(\mathbb{S}^2, g)},$$

and the equality holds if and only if (\mathbb{S}^2, g) has constant Gauss curvature. Therefore, taking into account (15), Hersch inequality may be written for a compact spacelike surface Σ in $\Lambda_c(p)$ as

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{2 \int_{\Sigma} |\mathbf{H}_\psi|^2 dA}{\text{Area}(\Sigma)} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{c^2}, \quad (16)$$

and from Corollary 5.6, the equality holds if and only if $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ is totally umbilical. This formula gives an extrinsic bound of the first non trivial eigenvalue of the Laplace operator of $(\Sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, and formally is the same expression of the well-known Reilly inequality in the

Euclidean space. However, Reilly equality is not true in general in a Lorentzian ambient (see for instance [10]).

In the compact case, Corollary 5.2 gives the following integral formula for a compact spacelike surface Σ through $\Lambda_c(p)$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{h_w^2} dA = 4\pi, \quad (17)$$

for every unit timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$. Now, from Schwarz inequality and Theorem 5.5 we come to the following result.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a compact spacelike surface that factorizes through the future light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ corresponding to the unit timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$. Then, we have the following upper bound for the area of Σ ,*

$$\text{Area}(\Sigma) \leq 2\sqrt{\pi} \|\langle \psi, W \rangle\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual L^2 norm. Moreover, the equality holds for some W if and only if Σ is the totally umbilical round sphere $\Lambda_c(p) \cap \{x : \langle x, W \rangle = r\}$ with $r = -1/\langle \psi, W \rangle$.

Finally, from (15), the Hersch inequality and Corollary 5.6 we get the next theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$ be a compact spacelike surface that factorizes through the future light cone $\Lambda_c(p)$ corresponding to the unit timelike vector $W \in p^\perp$. Then, for every unit timelike vector $w \in p^\perp$ with $\langle w, W \rangle < 0$, we have*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{2}{\min_{\Sigma}(h_w^2)},$$

and the equality holds for some w if and only if Σ is immersed as a totally umbilical round sphere in $\mathbb{M}_1^4(c)$.

□

References

- [1] L.J. Alías, V.L. Cánovas and M. Rigoli, Trapped submanifolds contained into a null hypersurface of de Sitter spacetime. *To appear in Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* (<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199717500596>.)
- [2] L.J. Alías, V.L. Cánovas and M. Rigoli, Codimension two spacelike submanifolds into a null hypersurface of the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime. *To appear in Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*
- [3] A.C. Asperti, M. Dajczer, Conformally flat Riemannian manifolds as hypersurfaces of the light cone, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **32**, 281–285 (1989).

- [4] A. Bejancu and K. L. Duggal, *Lightlike submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian manifolds and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [5] M. Dajczer, *Submanifolds and isometric immersions*, Mathematics Lectures Series, **13**, 1990.
- [6] G.F.L. Ellis, Closed trapped surfaces in cosmology, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.*, **35**, 1309–1319 (2003).
- [7] J. Hersch, Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes, *Ac. Sci. Paris Sér. I*, **270**, 1645–1648 (1970).
- [8] M. Mars and J.M.M. Senovilla, Trapped surfaces and symmetries, *Classical Quant. Grav.*, **20**, 293–300 (2003).
- [9] O. Palmas, F.J. Palomo and A. Romero, On the total mean curvature of a compact spacelike submanifold in Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime, *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A* (to appear).
- [10] F.J. Palomo, A. Romero, On spacelike surfaces in four-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime through a light cone, *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A*, **143**, 881–892 (2013).
- [11] I. Valle, L. Ladislao, Normal curvature of surfaces in space forms, *Pacific J. Math.*, **106**, 95–102 (1983).
- [12] P. Wintgen, Sur l'inégalité de Chen-Willmore, *C. R. Acad. Sc Paris T.*, **288**, 993–995 (1979).