

## Supplementary Material

### **A chemometric approach to the interaction of hydrogen peroxide and thermally activated persulfate in the removal of aromatic compounds**

Antonio Faggiano<sup>a</sup>, Ana B. Martínez-Piernas<sup>b</sup>, Maria Ricciardi<sup>a</sup>, Oriana Motta<sup>c</sup>,  
Antonino Fiorentino<sup>d\*</sup>, Antonio Proto<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biology “Adolfo Zambelli”, University of Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II 132, 84084 Fisciano, SA, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Malaga, 29071, Malaga, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Department of Medicine Surgery and Dentistry “Scuola Medica Salernitana”, University of Salerno, via S. Allende 1, 84081 Baronissi, SA, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Milan, Via Golgi 19, 20133 Milan, Italy

\*Corresponding author

*E-mail address:* [antonino.fiorentino@unimi.it](mailto:antonino.fiorentino@unimi.it) (A. Fiorentino)



Figure SM1 – Experimental set-up

Table SM1 - Experimental design

	<b>Factor 1</b>	<b>Factor 2</b>	<b>Factor 3</b>	<b>Factor 4</b>	<b>Response 1</b>
<b>Run</b>	A:T	B:pH	C:[HP]	D:[PS]	R1
	°C	-	mg/L	mg/L	%
1	55	7	67.5	103	0
2	70	9	85	85	11.4
3	55	7	67.5	67.5	2.8
4	40	9	85	50	10.8
5	55	7	67.5	32.5	8
6	70	5	85	85	40.4
7	70	5	50	50	94.4
8	40	9	85	85	52.8
9	40	5	85	50	31.9
10	55	3	67.5	67.5	52.4
11	40	5	50	85	29.8
12	70	9	85	50	23.3
13	85	7	67.5	67.5	46.4
14	70	5	50	85	48.6
15	70	5	85	50	50.1
16	40	9	50	85	8.8
17	70	9	50	85	11.2
18	55	11	67.5	67.5	12.1
19	55	7	67.5	67.5	4.12
20	70	9	50	50	52.2
21	55	7	103	67.5	76.4
22	40	5	85	85	71.6
23	40	9	50	50	4.48
24	40	5	50	50	15.5
25	55	7	32.5	67.5	58.8

Table SM2 – ANOVA results for quadratic model

<b>Source</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Mean Square</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>P-value</b>	
<b>Model</b>	16665.03	14	1190.359	62.05558	8.33E-08	significant
<b>A-T</b>	733.7881	1	733.7881	38.25371	0.000103	
<b>B-pH</b>	3453.121	1	3453.121	180.0174	1.02E-07	
<b>C-[HP]</b>	163.386	1	163.386	8.51761	0.01534	
<b>D-[PS]</b>	24.04002	1	24.04002	1.25325	0.289101	
<b>AB</b>	251.381	1	251.381	13.10495	0.004689	
<b>AC</b>	2250.079	1	2250.079	117.3007	7.62E-07	
<b>AD</b>	2722.231	1	2722.231	141.9148	3.13E-07	
<b>BC</b>	15.7609	1	15.7609	0.821644	0.38602	
<b>BD</b>	1.6129	1	1.6129	0.084083	0.777762	
<b>CD</b>	1029.768	1	1029.768	53.68368	2.52E-05	
<b>A<sup>2</sup></b>	696.0872	1	696.0872	36.28829	0.000128	
<b>B<sup>2</sup></b>	907.6082	1	907.6082	47.31527	4.31E-05	
<b>C<sup>2</sup></b>	4450.503	1	4450.503	232.0128	3.02E-08	
<b>D<sup>2</sup></b>	0.774107	1	0.774107	0.040356	0.844814	
<b>Residual</b>	191.8214	10	19.18214			
<b>Lack of Fit</b>	190.9502	9	21.21669	24.35341	0.156075	not significant
<b>Pure Error</b>	0.8712	1	0.8712			
<b>Cor Total</b>	16856.85	24				

Table SM3 - Fit Statistics

<b>Std. Dev.</b>	<b>4.379742</b>
Mean	32.7336
C.V. %	13.37996
R <sup>2</sup>	0.988621
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.972689
Predicted R <sup>2</sup>	0.927175
Adeq Precision	26.00711
R <sup>2</sup>	0.988621

Table SM4 - Data for temperature dependence of the rate constant

<b>T (K)</b>	<b>1/T (K<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>k<sub>1</sub> (M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>ln k</b>
303.15	3.30 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.1562	-1.857
313.15	3.19 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.2034	-1.592
328.15	3.05 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.2746	-1.292
343.15	2.91 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3451	-1.064