

WEIGHTED MODULAR INEQUALITIES FOR HARDY-STEKLOV OPERATORS

PEDRO ORTEGA SALVADOR AND CONSUELO RAMÍREZ TORREBLANCA

ABSTRACT. We characterize weighted modular inequalities of weak and strong type for the Hardy-Steklov operators T defined by $Tf(x) = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f(t)dt$, where g is a positive function and s, h are increasing and continuous functions such that $s(x) \leq h(x)$ for all x .

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS.

Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ and let $s, h : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be increasing and continuous functions such that $s(x) \leq h(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Let g be a positive function defined on (a, b) . Let T be the Hardy-Steklov operator defined by

$$Tf(x) = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f(t)dt.$$

Particular important cases are the Hardy operator $Tf(x) = \int_0^x f$, the Hardy averaging operators $Tf(x) = x^\alpha \int_0^x f$, the moving averaging operators $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{h(x)-s(x)} \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f$ and the Steklov operator $Tf(x) = \int_{x-1}^{x+1} f$.

Hardy-Steklov operators arise naturally in the theory of delay differential equations and the knowledge of their behaviour may be useful in the study of some Cauchy problems (see [7]).

The weighted strong and weak type (p, q) inequalities for T have been characterized in [1], [3], [4] and [5].

In this paper we will characterize weighted modular inequalities of strong and weak type for T , i. e., inequalities of the forms

$$\Phi_2^{-1} \left(\int_a^b \Phi_2(Tf(x)) u(x) dx \right) \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left(\int_{s(a)}^{h(b)} \Phi_1(Cf(x)) v(x) dx \right) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\Phi_2^{-1} \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\{x \in (a,b): Tf(x) > \lambda\}} u \right) \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left(\int_{s(a)}^{h(b)} \Phi_1(Cf)v \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are positive, strictly increasing functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ and u, v are non-negative functions defined on (a, b) and $(s(a), h(b))$ respectively.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

Key words and phrases. weights, modular inequalities, Hardy operators, Hardy-Steklov operators.

This research has been supported in part by MICYT, BFM 2001-1638, and Junta de Andalucía.

The weighted modular inequalities for operators like maximal functions, singular integral, etc, have been extensively studied (see [6] and the references given there). In particular the weighted modular inequalities for the Hardy operator and the generalized Hardy operators were characterized in [2], [8], [9] and [10].

In the statements and proofs of the results we will need some concepts and properties related to N -functions. By a N -function we mean a continuous and convex function Φ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(s) > 0$ if $s > 0$, $\frac{\Phi(s)}{s} \rightarrow 0$ when $s \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\Phi(s)}{s} \rightarrow \infty$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Every N -function Φ admits a representation of the form $\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t)dt$, where φ is increasing, continuous by the right at every point and verifies $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(s) > 0$ if $s > 0$ and $\varphi(s) \rightarrow \infty$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$. The function φ is called the density function of Φ . Given a N -function Φ , the function $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\Psi(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \Phi(s))$ is also a N -function called the complementary function of Φ . Two complementary N -functions Φ and Ψ verify Young's inequality: if $s, t \geq 0$, then $st \leq \Phi(s) + \Psi(t)$.

Our results are the following ones:

Theorem 1. *Let Φ_1 be a N -function and let $\Phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a positive strictly increasing continuous function such that $\Phi_2(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_2(t) = \infty$. Let us suppose that $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$ is subadditive. Let Ψ_1 be the complementary N -function of Φ_1 . Let u and v be non-negative functions defined on (a, b) and $(s(a), h(b))$ respectively. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that inequality (1.1) holds for all positive functions f .*
- (ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that the inequality*

$$\Phi_2^{-1} \left(\int_{\{x \in (a, b) : \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f > \lambda\}} \Phi_2(\lambda g) u \right) \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left(\int_{s(a)}^{h(b)} \Phi_1(Cf(x))v(x)dx \right) \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all $\lambda > 0$ and all positive functions f .

- (iii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{C\lambda v} \right) v \leq \alpha(\lambda, x, y) < \infty$$

holds for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $x, y \in (a, b)$ with $x < y$ and $s(y) \leq h(x)$, where

$$\alpha(\lambda, x, y) = \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\int_x^y \Phi_2(\lambda g) u \right).$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Psi_1, u$ and v be as in Theorem 1. Let us suppose that g is monotone. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that inequality (1.2) holds for all $\lambda > 0$ and all positive functions f .*
- (ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x, y)} g) \beta(\lambda, x, y)}{C\lambda v} \right) v \leq \beta(\lambda, x, y) < \infty$$

holds for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $x, y \in (a, b)$ with $x < y$ and $s(y) \leq h(x)$, where

$$\beta(\lambda, x, y) = (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_x^y u \right).$$

Observe that Theorems 1 and 2 include as particular cases the weighted strong and weak type (p, q) inequalities for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Observe also that if $g \equiv 1$, then the strong type inequality (1.1) and the weak type inequality (1.2) are equivalent. However, for general monotone g , (1.1) and (1.2) are not equivalent, even if $\Phi_1(t) = t^p$ and $\Phi_2(t) = t^q$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$.

In order to prove the theorems, we will need the following lemma, whose proof can be found in [1]:

Lemma 1. *Let $\{(a_j, b_j)\}_j$ be the connected components of the open set $\Omega = \{x \in (a, b) : s(x) < h(x)\}$. Then*

- (a) $(s(a_j), h(b_j)) \cap (s(a_i), h(b_i)) = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq i$.
- (b) For every j there exists a (finite or infinite) sequence $\{m_k^j\}_k$ of real numbers such that:
 - (i) $a_j \leq m_k^j < m_{k+1}^j \leq b_j$ for all k and j ;
 - (ii) $(a_j, b_j) = \cup_k (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j)$ a.e. for all j ;
 - (iii) $s(m_{k+1}^j) \leq h(m_k^j)$ for all j and k and $s(m_{k+1}^j) = h(m_k^j)$ if $a_j < m_k^j < m_{k+1}^j < b_j$.

The proof of theorem 1 is included in section 2 and the proof of theorem 2 can be found in section 3.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

The proof of $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ is trivial.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Let $\lambda > 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x, y \in (a, b)$ with $x < y$ and $s(y) \leq h(x)$. If $s(y) = h(x)$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $s(y) < h(x)$. Since the function $\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t}$ increases taking all values from 0 to ∞ , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} = 2C\lambda, \quad (2.1)$$

where C is the constant of inequality (1.1).

Let f be the function defined by

$$f = \frac{1}{C} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} \chi_{(s(y), h(x))}.$$

If $z \in (x, y)$, we have

$$\int_{s(z)}^{h(z)} f \geq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} f = \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \frac{1}{C} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} = 2\lambda > \lambda.$$

This shows that $(x, y) \subset \left\{ z \in (a, b) : \int_{s(z)}^{h(z)} f > \lambda \right\}$. Then (ii), the inequality $\Phi_1 \left(\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t} \right) \leq \Psi_1(t)$ and (2.1) give

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\lambda, x, y) &= \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\int_x^y \Phi_2(\lambda g) u \right) \\
&\leq \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\int_{\{z \in (a, b) : \int_{s(z)}^{h(z)} f > \lambda\}} \Phi_2(\lambda g) u \right) \\
&\leq \int_{s(a)}^{h(b)} \Phi_1(Cf(t)) \left(v(t) + \frac{1}{n} \right) dt \\
&= \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1 \left(\Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} \right) \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&\leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) = 2C\lambda\varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

This inequality ensures $\alpha(\lambda, x, y) < \infty$.

If ψ_1 is the density function of Ψ_1 , it is known that

$$\Psi_1(x) \leq x\psi_1(x) \leq \Psi_1(2x). \tag{2.3}$$

On one hand, by (2.2), the right-hand side inequality in (2.3) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{4C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} = 4C\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side inequality in (2.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\geq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{4C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \frac{4C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)}{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)} \\
&= \frac{4C\lambda}{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)} \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{4C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Putting together (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\frac{4C\lambda}{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)} \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{4C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq J \leq 4C\lambda.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda, x, y)}{4C\lambda v} \right) v \leq \alpha(\lambda, x, y).$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If $s(x) = h(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, there is nothing to prove.

Let us suppose that there exists $z \in (a, b)$ such that $s(z) < h(z)$. Then $\Omega = \{x \in (a, b) : s(x) < h(x)\}$ is a nonempty open set. Let $\{(a_j, b_j)\}_j$ be the collection of

the connected components of Ω and, for every j , let $\{m_k^j\}$ be the sequence given by lemma 1.

For fixed j, k and $x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j)$ we have

$$Tf(x) = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} f + g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} f + g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \Phi_2(Tf)u \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} f + g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} f + g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f \right) u(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} 3f \right) u(x) dx \\ &+ \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} 3f \right) u(x) dx \\ &+ \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 3f \right) u(x) dx = (I) + (II) + (III). \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate (III). Let us fix j, k and consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_0 = m_{k+1}^j$ and $\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} f = \int_{h(x_n)}^{h(x_{n-1})} f$. This sequence verifies

$$\int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f = \frac{1}{4} \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} f.$$

Let, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n = f \chi_{(h(x_{n+2}), h(x_{n+1}))}$. If $x \in (x_{n+1}, x_n)$ then, by the definition of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, we have

$$\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 4f_n \geq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_{n+1})} 4f_n = 4 \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f = \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} f.$$

This shows that

$$(x_{n+1}, x_n) \subset E_n = \left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 12f_n > \lambda_n \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\lambda_n = \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} 3f$.

By the monotonicity of $\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 12f_n$, it is clear that E_n is an interval of the form (γ, m_{k+1}^j) . Let $x \in E_n$. Then,

$$\lambda_n < \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 12f_n = \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 12Cf_n \frac{\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j)}{Cv\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j)} v$$

or, equivalently,

$$2\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j) \leq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 24C f_n \frac{\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j)}{\lambda_n C v} v.$$

Applying Young's inequality and (iii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j) &\leq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1(24C f_n) v + \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j)}{\lambda_n C v} \right) v \\ &\leq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1(24C f_n) v + \alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\alpha(\lambda_n, x, m_{k+1}^j) \leq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1(24C f_n) v.$$

Since the above inequality holds for all $x \in E_n$, taking infimum we get

$$\int_{E_n} \Phi_2(\lambda_n g) u \leq \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1} \left(\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24C f_n) v \right). \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.6), (2.7), the definition of f_n and the subadditivity of $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (III) &= \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 3f \right) u(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \sum_n \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} 3f \right) u(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} \sum_n \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} \Phi_2 \left(g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} 3f \right) u(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} \sum_n \int_{E_n} \Phi_2(g(x) \lambda_n) u(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} \sum_n (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}) \left(\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24C f_n) v \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \sum_n (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}) \left(\int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Phi_1(24C f) v \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}) \left(\int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24C f) v \right). \end{aligned}$$

The estimation of (I) can be done in a similar way obtaining

$$(I) \leq \sum_{j,k} (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}) \left(\int_{s(m_k^j)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24C f) v \right).$$

In order to estimate (II), let $\lambda_{j,k} = \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} 3f$. By Young's inequality and (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(\lambda_{j,k}, m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) &= \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} 6Cf \frac{\alpha(\lambda_{j,k}, m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j)}{C\lambda_{j,k}v} v \\ &\leq \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Phi_1(6Cf)v + \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha(\lambda_{j,k}, m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j)}{C\lambda_{j,k}v} \right) v \\ &\leq \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Phi_1(6Cf)v + \alpha(\lambda_{j,k}, m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha(\lambda_{j,k}, m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) \leq \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Phi_1(6Cf)v,$$

and this implies

$$(II) = \sum_{j,k} \int_{m_k^j}^{m_{k+1}^j} \Phi_2 \left(g \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} 3f \right) u \leq \sum_{j,k} (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}) \left(\int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Phi_1(6Cf)v \right).$$

Putting together the estimations of (I), (II) and (III), summing up in j and k and applying the subadditivity of $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$, we get (i). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\lambda > 0$ and let $x, y \in (a, b)$ with $x < y$ and $s(y) \leq h(x)$. If $s(y) = h(x)$, there is nothing to prove. Let us suppose $s(y) < h(x)$. Let ρ be a positive number and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} = (1 + \rho)C\lambda, \quad (3.1)$$

where C is the constant of inequality (1.2).

Let f be the function defined by

$$f = \frac{1}{C} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)} \chi_{(s(y), h(x))}.$$

If $z \in (x, y)$ we have, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(z) &= g(z) \int_{s(z)}^{h(z)} f \geq g(z) \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} f = g(z) \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \frac{1}{C} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)} \\ &\geq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \frac{1}{C} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)} g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon} = (1 + \rho)\lambda > \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that $(x, y) \subset \{z \in (a, b) : Tf(z) > \lambda\}$.

Applying (i), the inequality $\Phi_1\left(\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t}\right) \leq \Psi_1(t)$ and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\lambda, x, y) &= (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_x^y u \right) \leq (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\{z \in (a,b): Tf(z) > \lambda\}} u \right) \\
&\leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1(Cf)v = \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Phi_1 \left(\Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)}g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)}g)} \right) v \\
&\leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)}g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) v \leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\inf_{(x,y)}g)}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= (1 + \rho)C\lambda\varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The fact that the function $\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t}$ increases, together with (3.1) and (3.2) give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\beta(\lambda, x, y)}{(1 + \rho)C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\beta(\lambda, x, y)} \\
&= \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\beta(\lambda, x, y)}{(1 + \rho)C\lambda \left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right) \frac{\left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) (1 + \rho)C\lambda(\inf_{(x,y)}g)}{\beta(\lambda, x, y)(\inf_{(x,y)}g)(1 + \rho)C\lambda} \\
&\leq \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{\left(v + \frac{1}{n} \right) (\inf_{(x,y)}g)}{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\varepsilon(1 + \rho)C\lambda} \\
&= \int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\varepsilon}{v + \frac{1}{n}} \right) \frac{v + \frac{1}{n}}{\varepsilon(1 + \rho)C\lambda} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\rho \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{s(y)}^{h(x)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{(\inf_{(x,y)}g)\beta(\lambda, x, y)}{C\lambda v} \right) \frac{v}{\beta(\lambda, x, y)} \leq 1.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). If $\{m_k^j\}$ is the sequence given by lemma 1,

$$u(\{x \in (a, b) : Tf(x) > \lambda\}) = \sum_{j,k} u(\{x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : Tf(x) > \lambda\}).$$

For fixed k and j we have that if $x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j)$, then

$$Tf(x) = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{h(x)} f = g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} f + g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} f + g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f.$$

By the subadditivity of $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\{x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : Tf(x) > \lambda\}} u \right) \\
& \leq \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{s(x)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}} u \right) \\
& + \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}} u \right) \\
& + \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}} u \right) \\
& = (I) + (II) + (III).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate (III). Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined as in the proof of theorem 1. Let

$$E_n = (x_{n+1}, x_n) \cap \left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}.$$

If $x \in E_n$, then

$$\frac{\lambda}{3} < g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f \leq g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x_n)} f = 4g(x) \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f.$$

This implies

$$\lambda \leq 12(\inf_{E_n} g) \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f.$$

Let $\delta_n = \inf E_n$ and $\gamma_n = \sup E_n$. Since g is monotone we can ensure

$$\lambda \leq 12(\inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g) \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f.$$

Applying this property and Young's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) & \leq \beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) \frac{24}{\lambda} (\inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g) \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} f \\
& = \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} 24Cf \frac{\beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) \inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g}{C\lambda v}. \\
& \leq \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Phi_1(24Cf)v + \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) \inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g}{C\lambda v} \right) v.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $s(\gamma_n) \leq s(m_{k+1}^j) \leq h(m_k^j) \leq h(x_{n+2}) \leq h(x_{n+1}) \leq h(\delta_n)$, condition (ii) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) (\inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g)}{C\lambda v} \right) v \\ & \leq \int_{s(\gamma_n)}^{h(\delta_n)} \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n) (\inf_{(\delta_n, \gamma_n)} g)}{C\lambda v} \right) v \\ & \leq \beta(\lambda, \delta_n, \gamma_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking away this inequality to (3.3) we obtain

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_n} u \right) \leq \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Phi_1(24Cf)v,$$

which implies

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{E_n} u \right) \leq \int_{h(x_{n+2})}^{h(x_{n+1})} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

Summing up in n and applying the subadditivity of $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$ we get

$$(III) = (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(x)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}} u \right) \leq \int_{h(m_k^j)}^{h(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

In a similar way, we have

$$(I) \leq \int_{s(m_k^j)}^{s(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

In order to estimate (II), let

$$E_{j,k} = \left\{ x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : g(x) \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} f > \frac{\lambda}{3} \right\}.$$

Since g is monotone, the set $E_{j,k}$ is an interval. Working as in the estimation of (III), we prove

$$(II) = (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{E_{j,k}} u \right) \leq \int_{s(m_{k+1}^j)}^{h(m_k^j)} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

From the estimations of (I), (II) and (III), we deduce

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\{x \in (m_k^j, m_{k+1}^j) : Tf(x) > \lambda\}} u \right) \leq \int_{s(m_k^j)}^{h(m_{k+1}^j)} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

Summing up in k and j and taking into account the subadditivity of $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}$, we get

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}) \left(\Phi_2(\lambda) \int_{\{x \in (a,b) : Tf(x) > \lambda\}} u \right) \leq \int_{s(a)}^{h(b)} \Phi_1(24Cf)v.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. Bernardis, F. J. Martín-Reyes and P. Ortega Salvador, *Weighted inequalities for Hardy-Steklov operators*, to appear in Canadian Journal of Mathematics.
- [2] S. Bloom and R. Kerman, *Weighted L_Φ integral inequalities for operators of Hardy type*, Studia Math. 110 (2) (1994), 149-167.
- [3] T. Chen and G. Sinnamon, *Generalized Hardy operators and normalizing measures*, J. of Inequal. Appl. , Vol. 7 (2002), 829-866.
- [4] A. Gogatishvili and J. Lang, *The generalized Hardy operator with kernel and variable integral limits in Banach function spaces*, J. of Inequal. Appl., Vol. 4 (1999), 1-16.
- [5] H. P. Heinig and G. Sinnamon, *Mapping properties of integral averaging operators*, Studia Math. 129 (1998), 157-177.
- [6] V. Kokilashvili and M. Krbec, *Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz spaces*, World Scientific, 1991.
- [7] A. Kufner and L. Persson, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy Type*, World Scientific, 2003.
- [8] P. Ortega Salvador, *Weighted generalized weak type inequalities for modified Hardy operators*, Collect. Math. 51, 2 (2000), 149-155.
- [9] Q. Lai, *Weighted integral inequalities for the Hardy type operator and the fractional maximal operator*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 49 (2) (1994), 244-266.
- [10] Q. Lai, *Weighted modular inequalities for Hardy type operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 79 (1999) 649-672.

PEDRO ORTEGA SALVADOR, ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

Email address: ortega@anamat.cie.uma.es

CONSUELO RAMÍREZ TORREBLANCA, ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

Email address: ramirez@anamat.cie.uma.es