

Ce_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}O_{2-δ} / La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO₃ heterostructures prepared by pulsed laser deposition.

Lucía dos Santos-Gómez, José Manuel Porrás-Vázquez, Enrique R. Losilla, David Marrero-López

KEYWORDS: Heterostructures, Ce_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}O_{2-δ}, La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO_{3-δ}.

Oxide interfaces have received greater attention due to the possibility to obtain properties that are very different from bulk materials. Due to the wide variety of electronic and ionic phenomena than can be detected at the interfaces, such materials have many technological applications [1]. Attention is being drawn to oxide heterostructures, a new family of artificial materials where electronic and ionic properties can be modulated at the interfaces by varying the characteristics of the layers [2, 3]. Slight variations in the near anionic-cationic order might take place if there exists strained interfaces. The interest in multilayered heterostructures derives from the mobility defects and the space-charge-zone effects at the interfaces. In addition, a new degree of freedom related to the capacitive and resistive contributions is provided as a consequence of the size effects of these artificial structures.

In the present work, for the first time, we investigate the structure, microstructure and electrical properties of a new family of heterostructured materials with alternated thin layers of La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO₃ (LSC) and Ce_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}O_{2-δ} (CGO) deposited by pulsed laser deposition on (110) NdGaO₃ (NGO) single crystal substrates. In order to evaluate the interfacial contribution to ionic-electronic conductivity and know what is actually happens at the interface of MIECs, different heterostructures were prepared by varying both the number of bilayers (N) and the total thickness of the samples (N = 2 and 5; and the thickness were 50, 100 and 300 nm).

The quality of the samples was examined by HR-TEM and all of them presented well defined interfaces. The rocking curve and HR-TEM images indicate that the CGO cells are rotated 45° with respect to NGO and LSC ones as can be observed in the figure. In addition, due to the great lattice mismatch between NGO, CGO and LSC, the asymmetric reflections of (113) plane can be distinguished in the reciprocal space mapping and the analysis of these results indicates that the interfaces generate a compressive strain in CGO layers and, consequently, tensile strain in the LSC ones. Conductivity of CGO/LSC heterostructures is affected by the thickness and the number of interfaces in the heterostructure, and the thickness is the dominant factor in the conductivity variation.

REFERENCIAS:

[1] Pryds, N. & Esposito, V. (2017). *J. Electroceram.* **38**, 1-23.

[2] Sanna, S. *et al.* (2010). *Small.* **6**, 1863-1867.

[3] Schweiger, S. *et al.* (2014). *ACS Nano.* **8**, 5032-5048.