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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem } \\
& \text { Given } 1<p \leq q<\infty \text { and } 0<\alpha<n \text {, then }(u, \sigma) \in A_{p, q}^{\alpha} \text { if } \\
& \text { and only if } \\
& \qquad M_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Implicit in Muckenhoupt-Wheeden (1974)

If $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}=\frac{\alpha}{n}$, and $u=w^{q}, \sigma=w^{-p^{\prime}}$, this becomes the one-weight $A_{p, q}$ condition.

## Lecture 3: $A_{p}$ bump conditions

## $A_{p, q}$ Condition not sufficient

Example (DCU-Moen 2013)
Given $1<p \leq q<\infty$ and $0<\alpha<n$, there exists a pair of weights $(u, \sigma)$ that satisfy the two weight $A_{\rho, q}^{\alpha}$ condition but there exists $f \in L^{p}(\sigma)$ such that $M_{\alpha}(f \sigma) \notin L^{q}(u)$.

Folklore: may have been known earlier.

## Factored weights

## Lemma

If $1<p \leq q<\infty$ and $0<\alpha<n$, and given $w_{1}, w_{2} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$, then there exists $\gamma>0$ such that if

$$
u=w_{1}\left(M_{\gamma} w_{2}\right)^{-\frac{q}{p^{\prime}}}, \quad \sigma=w_{2}\left(M_{\gamma} w_{1}\right)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}},
$$

then $(u, \sigma) \in A_{p, q}^{\alpha}$.

Factored weights systematically developed in DCU-Martell-Pérez (2011).
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- Generalize $A_{p, q}$ condition
- Universal sufficient conditions
- Easier to check than testing conditions
- Geometric condition on weights themselves
- Works well with CZ cubes

Introduced by Neugebauer (1983);
Systematically developed by Pérez (1994+)

Rewrite $A_{p, q}^{\alpha}$ condition:

$$
\sup _{Q}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{q, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, Q}<\infty
$$

Key idea: replace localized $L^{q}, L^{p^{\prime}}$ norms with larger norms in the scale of Orlicz spaces.

A Young function $B:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous, convex, increasing, $B(0)=0$, and $B(t) / t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Associate Young function $\bar{B}$

$$
B^{-1}(t) \bar{B}^{-1}(t) \approx t
$$

Key example: log-bumps

$$
B(t)=t^{p} \log (e+t)^{p-1+\delta}, \quad \bar{B}(t) \approx \frac{t^{p^{\prime}}}{\log (e+t)^{1+\left(p^{\prime}-1\right) \delta}}
$$
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Given Young function $B$, define

$$
M_{B} f(x)=\sup _{Q}\|f\|_{B, Q} \chi_{Q}(x) .
$$

## Theorem (Pérez 1995)

Given a Young function $B, M_{B}: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$, if and only if $B \in B_{p}$ :

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{B(t)}{t^{p}} \frac{d t}{t}<\infty .
$$

For necessity, see Liu-Luque (2014)

The size of bumps

If $B \in B_{p}$, then $\quad B(t) \lesssim t^{p} \quad \bar{B}(t) \gtrsim t^{p^{\prime}}$.
N.B. $B(t)=t^{p}$ not in $B_{p}$

Bumps for $M_{\alpha}$ and $I_{\alpha}$

```
Theorem (Pérez (1994))
Given \(1<p \leq q<\infty\) and weights \((u, \sigma)\), if \(\bar{B} \in B_{p}\) and
    \(\sup _{Q}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\rho}}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{q, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{B, Q}<\infty\),
Then \(M_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q}(u)\).
If \(\bar{A} \in B_{q^{\prime}}, \bar{B} \in B_{p}\) and
    \(\sup _{Q}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\rho}}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{A, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{B, Q}<\infty\),
Then \(I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q}(u)\).
```



- Use sparse dyadic operator
- Apply duality
- Hölder's inequality to separate functions and weights
- Bump condition and Orlicz maximal operators to evaluate sum

| Two weight $A_{p q,}$ <br> ooooo | Bump conditions <br> 000000 | Conjoined bump conditions <br> $00 \bullet 000$ | Separated bumps <br> 00000000000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proof for $I_{\alpha}, ~$ | $0=\varnothing$ |  |  |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} I_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{S}}(f \sigma) g u d x \\
&=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f \sigma\rangle_{Q}\langle g u\rangle_{Q}|Q| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\left\|f \sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right\|_{\bar{B}, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\rho}}}\right\|_{B, Q}\left\|g u^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\rho}}}\right\|_{\bar{A}, Q}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right\|_{A, Q}|Q| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\|f \sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right\|_{\bar{B}, Q}\left\|g u^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{\bar{A}, Q}|E(Q)| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{E(Q)} M_{\bar{B}}\left(f \sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right) M_{\bar{A}}\left(g u^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right) d x \\
& \lesssim\left\|M_{\bar{B}}\left(f \sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)\right\|_{p}\left\|M_{\bar{A}}\left(g u^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right)\right\|_{\rho^{\prime}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{\prime}(\sigma)}\|g\|_{L^{\rho^{\prime}}(u)}
\end{align*}
$$

## Conjoined bumps for commutators

## Sketch of proof I

Prove for dyadic operator

$$
J_{\alpha, b}(f \sigma)(x)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} f_{Q}|b(x)-b(y)| f(y) \sigma(y) d y \chi_{Q}(x)
$$

Use duality and split sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} J_{\alpha, b}(f \sigma) g u d x \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} f_{Q}\left|b-\langle b\rangle_{Q}\right| f \sigma d y\langle g u\rangle_{Q}|Q| \\
& \quad+\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} f_{Q}\left|b-\langle b\rangle_{Q}\right| g u d x\langle f \sigma\rangle_{Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$
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What are the correct bump conditions for weak type inequalities?

How are these related to bump conditions for strong type inequalities?

Use generalized Hölder's inequality and bump condition to continue as for $I_{\alpha}$.

Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjectures

Given $1<p \leq q<\infty, 0<\alpha<n$ and $(u, \sigma)$, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q}(u), & (M) \\
M_{\alpha}(\cdot u): L^{q^{\prime}}(u) \rightarrow L^{\rho^{\prime}}(\sigma), & \left(M^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then $I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q}(u)$.
If $\left(M^{*}\right)$ holds, then

$$
I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}(u) .
$$
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Recall off-diagonal testing conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{Q} I_{\alpha, Q}^{\mathcal{D},+}\left(\sigma \chi_{Q}\right)^{q} u d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leq M_{1}\left(\int_{Q} \sigma d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{+}\\
\left(\int_{Q} I_{\alpha, Q}^{\mathcal{D},+}\left(u \chi_{Q}\right)^{)^{\prime}} \sigma d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \leq M_{2}\left(\int_{Q} u d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{+}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
l_{\alpha, Q}^{\mathcal{D},+} f(x)=\sum_{\substack{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \leftrightarrows Q^{\prime}}}\left|Q^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f\rangle_{Q^{\prime}} \chi_{Q^{\prime}}(x) .
$$
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Condition ( $T_{+}$) becomes

$$
\left(\int_{Q} M_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sigma \chi_{Q}\right)^{q} u d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq M_{1}\left(\int_{Q} \sigma d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad(M T)
$$

## Separated bump condition

Testing conditions implied by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sup _{Q}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{A, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, Q}<\infty, & \bar{A} \in B_{q^{\prime}} \quad(B L) \\
\sup _{Q}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left\|u^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{q, Q}\left\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right\|_{B, Q}<\infty, \quad \bar{B} \in B_{p} \quad(B R)
\end{array}
$$

This suggests that two bump conditions are sufficient for strong type inequalities
and the dual bump condition (BL) should be sufficient for weak type inequalities.

- Are the MW conjectures true: do $(M)$ and ( $M^{*}$ ) imply $I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow^{p}(u) ?$

Conjecture: no.

- Do the separated bump conditions (BL) and (BR) imply strong and weak type inequalities?

Conjecture: it depends on the size of the bump.

## A weaker condition

## Example (Anderson-DCU-Moen (2013))

Given $1<p \leq q<\infty$ and $0<\alpha<$, there exists $(u, \sigma)$ and Young functions $A, B$ with $\bar{A} \in B_{q^{\prime}}, \bar{B} \in B_{p}$, such that ( $u, \sigma$ ) satisfy the separated bump conditions but not the conjoined bump condition.

Example for $p=q=2, \alpha=0$, but easily modified.
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## Theorem (DCU-Martell-Pérez (2011))

Given $1<p<\infty, 0<\alpha<n$ and $(u, \sigma)$, if (ML) and (MR) hold with

```
\[
A(t)=t^{p} \log (e+t)^{2 p-1+\delta}, B(t)=t^{p^{\prime}} \log (e+t)^{2 p^{\prime}-1+\delta}
\]
then \(I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow{ }^{p}(u)\).
If \((M L)\) holds, then \(I_{\alpha}(\cdot \sigma): L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow^{p, \infty}(u)\)
    \(A(t)=t^{p} \log (e+t)^{2 p-1+\delta}, B(t)=t^{p^{\prime}} \log (e+t)^{2 p^{\prime}-1+\delta}\),
```

(ML) holds, then $I_{a}(\sigma): L \rho(\sigma) \rightarrow P \infty(u)$.

## Three final questions

- Can you prove this result using testing conditions and the corona decomposition?
- Can you prove this result for log bumps:

$$
A(t)=t^{p} \log (e+t)^{p-1+\delta}, B(t)=t^{p^{\prime}} \log (e+t)^{p^{\prime}-1+\delta} ?
$$

- can you prove weak $(1,1)$ inequality with $B(t)=t \log (e+t)^{\epsilon}$ ?

Very recent work by Lacey (2014) and Treil and Volberg (2014) suggests even weaker conditions are possible, but not general $B_{p}$ bumps.

End of Lecture 3
Thank you very much! Muchas gracias!

